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DEFINITIONS

In this Code, unless the context indicates otherwise,

“associate” includes:

(a) a lawyer who practises law in a law firm through an employment or other contractual relationship; and

(b) a non-lawyer employee of a multi-discipline practice providing services that support or supplement the practice of law;

“client” means a person who:

(a) consults a lawyer and on whose behalf the lawyer renders or agrees to render legal services; or

(b) having consulted the lawyer, reasonably concludes that the lawyer has agreed to render legal services on his or her behalf.

Commentary

A lawyer-client relationship may be established without formality.

When an individual consults a lawyer in a representative capacity, the client is the corporation, partnership, organization, or other legal entity that the individual is representing;

For greater clarity, a client does not include a near-client, such as an affiliated entity, director, shareholder, employee or family member, unless there is objective evidence to demonstrate that such an individual had a reasonable expectation that a lawyer-client relationship would be established.

“conflict of interest” means the existence of a substantial risk that a lawyer’s loyalty to or representation of a client would be materially and adversely affected by the lawyer’s own interest or the lawyer’s duties to another client, a former client, or a third person.

“consent” means fully informed and voluntary consent after disclosure

(a) in writing, provided that, if more than one person consents, each signs the same or a separate document recording the consent; or

(b) orally, provided that each person consenting receives a separate written communication recording the consent as soon as practicable;
“disclosure” means full and fair disclosure of all information relevant to a person’s decision (including, where applicable, those matters referred to in commentary in this Code), in sufficient time for the person to make a genuine and independent decision, and the taking of reasonable steps to ensure understanding of the matters disclosed;

“interprovincial law firm” means a law firm that carries on the practice of law in more than one province or territory of Canada;

“law firm” includes one or more lawyers practising:

(a) in a sole proprietorship;

(b) in a partnership;

(c) as a clinic under the [provincial or territorial Act governing legal aid];

(d) in a government, a Crown corporation or any other public body; or

(e) in a corporation or other organization;

“lawyer” means a member of the Society and includes a law student enrolled in the Law Society Admission Program;

“Society” means the Law Society of British Columbia;

“tribunal” includes a court, board, arbitrator, mediator, administrative agency or other body that resolves disputes, regardless of its function or the informality of its procedures;
CHAPTER 1 – STANDARDS OF THE LEGAL PROFESSION

1.01 CANONS OF LEGAL ETHICS

1.01 These Canons of Legal Ethics in Rules 1.01 to 1.05 are a general guide and not a denial of the existence of other duties equally imperative and of other rights, though not specifically mentioned. A version of these Canons has formed part of the Code of Professional Conduct of the Law Society of British Columbia since 1921. They are included here both for their historical value and for their statement of general principles that underlie the remainder of the rules in this Code.

A lawyer is a minister of justice, an officer of the courts, a client’s advocate and a member of an ancient, honourable and learned profession.

In these several capacities, it is a lawyer’s duty to promote the interests of the state, serve the cause of justice, maintain the authority and dignity of the courts, be faithful to clients, be candid and courteous in relations with other lawyers and demonstrate personal integrity.

1.01 (1) To the state

(a) A lawyer owes a duty to the state, to maintain its integrity and its law. A lawyer should not aid, counsel or assist any person to act in any way contrary to the law.

(b) When engaged as a Crown prosecutor, a lawyer’s primary duty is not to seek a conviction but to see that justice is done; to that end the lawyer should make timely disclosure to the defence of all facts and known witnesses whether tending to show guilt or innocence, or that would affect the punishment of the accused.

(c) A lawyer should accept without hesitation, and if need be without fee or reward, the cause of any person assigned to the lawyer by the court, and exert every effort on behalf of that person.

1.01 (2) To courts and tribunals

(a) A lawyer’s conduct should at all times be characterized by candour and fairness. The lawyer should maintain toward a court or tribunal a courteous and respectful attitude and insist on similar conduct on the part of clients, at the same time discharging professional duties to clients resolutely and with self-respecting independence.
(b) Judges, not being free to defend themselves, are entitled to receive the support of the legal profession against unjust criticism and complaint. Whenever there is proper ground for serious complaint against a judicial officer, it is proper for a lawyer to submit the grievance to the appropriate authorities.

(c) A lawyer should not attempt to deceive a court or tribunal by offering false evidence or by misstating facts or law and should not, either in argument to the judge or in address to the jury, assert a personal belief in an accused's guilt or innocence, in the justice or merits of the client's cause or in the evidence tendered before the court.

(d) A lawyer should never seek privately to influence a court or tribunal, directly or indirectly, in the lawyer's or a client's favour, nor should the lawyer attempt to curry favour with juries by fawning, flattery or pretended solicitude for their personal comfort.

1.01 (3) To the client

(a) A lawyer should obtain sufficient knowledge of the relevant facts and give adequate consideration to the applicable law before advising a client, and give an open and undisguised opinion of the merits and probable results of the client's cause. The lawyer should be wary of bold and confident assurances to the client, especially where the lawyer's employment may depend on such assurances. The lawyer should bear in mind that seldom are all the law and facts on the client's side, and that audi alteram partem (hear the other side) is a safe rule to follow.

(b) A lawyer should disclose to the client all the circumstances of the lawyer's relations to the parties and interest in or connection with the controversy, if any, that might influence whether the client selects or continues to retain the lawyer. A lawyer must not act where there is a conflict of interests between the lawyer and a client or between clients.

(c) Whenever the dispute will admit of fair settlement the client should be advised to avoid or to end the litigation.

(d) A lawyer should treat adverse witnesses, litigants and counsel with fairness and courtesy, refraining from all offensive personalities. The lawyer must not allow a client's personal feelings and prejudices to detract from the lawyer's professional duties. At the same time, the lawyer should represent the client's interests resolutely and without fear of judicial disfavour or public unpopularity.
(e) A lawyer should endeavour by all fair and honourable means to obtain for a client the benefit of any and every remedy and defence that is authorized by law. The lawyer must, however, steadfastly bear in mind that this great trust is to be performed within and not without the bounds of the law. The office of the lawyer does not permit, much less demand, for any client, violation of law or any manner of fraud or chicanery. No client has a right to demand that the lawyer be illiberal or do anything repugnant to the lawyer's own sense of honour and propriety.

(f) It is a lawyer's right to undertake the defence of a person accused of crime, regardless of the lawyer's own personal opinion as to the guilt of the accused. Having undertaken such defence, the lawyer is bound to present, by all fair and honourable means and in a manner consistent with the client's instructions, every defence that the law of the land permits, to the end that no person will be convicted except by due process of law.

(g) A lawyer should not, except as by law expressly sanctioned, acquire by purchase or otherwise any interest in the subject-matter of the litigation being conducted by the lawyer. A lawyer should scrupulously guard, and not divulge or use for personal benefit, a client's secrets or confidences. Having once acted for a client in a matter, a lawyer must not act against the client in the same or any related matter.

(h) A lawyer must record, and should report promptly to a client the receipt of any moneys or other trust property. The lawyer must use the client's moneys and trust property only as authorized by the client, and not commingle it with that of the lawyer.

(i) A lawyer is entitled to reasonable compensation for services rendered, but should avoid charges that are unreasonably high or low. The client's ability to pay cannot justify a charge in excess of the value of the service, though it may require a reduction or waiver of the fee.

(j) A lawyer should try to avoid controversies with clients regarding compensation so far as is compatible with self-respect and with the right to receive reasonable recompense for services. A lawyer should always bear in mind that the profession is a branch of the administration of justice and not a mere money-making business.

(k) A lawyer who appears as an advocate should not submit the lawyer's own affidavit to or testify before a court or tribunal except as to purely formal or uncontroverted matters, such as the attestation or custody of a document, unless it is necessary in the interests of justice. If the lawyer is a necessary witness with respect to other matters, the conduct of the case should be entrusted to other counsel.
1.01 (4) To other lawyers

(a) A lawyer’s conduct toward other lawyers should be characterized by courtesy and good faith. Any ill feeling that may exist between clients or lawyers, particularly during litigation, should never be allowed to influence lawyers in their conduct and demeanour toward each other or the parties. Personal remarks or references between lawyers should be scrupulously avoided, as should quarrels between lawyers that cause delay and promote unseemly wrangling.

(b) A lawyer should neither give nor request an undertaking that cannot be fulfilled and should fulfil every undertaking given. A lawyer should never communicate upon or attempt to negotiate or compromise a matter directly with any party who the lawyer knows is represented therein by another lawyer, except through or with the consent of that other lawyer.

(c) A lawyer should avoid all sharp practice and should take no paltry advantage when an opponent has made a slip or overlooked some technical matter. A lawyer should accede to reasonable requests that do not prejudice the rights of the client or the interests of justice.

1.01 (5) To oneself

(a) A lawyer should assist in maintaining the honour and integrity of the legal profession, should expose before the proper tribunals without fear or favour, unprofessional or dishonest conduct by any other lawyer and should accept without hesitation a retainer against any lawyer who is alleged to have wronged the client.

(b) It is the duty of every lawyer to guard the Bar against the admission to the profession of any candidate whose moral character or education renders that person unfit for admission.

(c) A lawyer should make legal services available to the public in an efficient and convenient manner that will command respect and confidence. A lawyer’s best advertisement is the establishment of a well-merited reputation for competence and trustworthiness.

(d) No client is entitled to receive, nor should any lawyer render any service or advice involving disloyalty to the state or disrespect for judicial office, or the corruption of any persons exercising a public or private trust, or deception or betrayal of the public.

(e) A lawyer should recognize that the oaths taken upon admission to the Bar are solemn undertakings to be strictly observed.

(f) All lawyers should bear in mind that they can maintain the high traditions of the profession by steadfastly adhering to the time-honoured virtues of probity, integrity, honesty and dignity.
1.02 INTEGRITY

1.02 (1) A lawyer has a duty to carry on the practice of law and discharge all responsibilities to clients, tribunals, the public and other members of the profession honourably and with integrity.

Commentary

Integrity is the fundamental quality of any person who seeks to practise as a member of the legal profession. If a client has any doubt about his or her lawyer's trustworthiness, the essential element in the true lawyer-client relationship will be missing. If integrity is lacking, the lawyer’s usefulness to the client and reputation within the profession will be destroyed, regardless of how competent the lawyer may be.

Public confidence in the administration of justice and in the legal profession may be eroded by a lawyer’s irresponsible conduct. Accordingly, a lawyer’s conduct should reflect favourably on the legal profession, inspire the confidence, respect and trust of clients and of the community, and avoid even the appearance of impropriety.

Dishonourable or questionable conduct on the part of a lawyer in either private life or professional practice will reflect adversely upon the integrity of the profession and the administration of justice. Whether within or outside the professional sphere, if the conduct is such that knowledge of it would be likely to impair a client’s trust in the lawyer, the Society may be justified in taking disciplinary action.

Generally, however, the Society will not be concerned with the purely private or extra-professional activities of a lawyer that do not bring into question the lawyer’s professional integrity.

1.02 (2) A lawyer has a duty to uphold the standards and reputation of the legal profession and to assist in the advancement of its goals, organizations and institutions.

Commentary

Collectively, lawyers are encouraged to enhance the profession through activities such as:

(a) sharing knowledge and experience with colleagues and students informally in day-to-day practice as well as through contribution to professional journals and publications, support of law school projects and participation in panel discussions, legal education seminars, bar admission courses and university lectures;
(b) participating in legal aid and community legal services programs or providing legal services on a pro bono basis;

(c) filling elected and volunteer positions with the Society;

(d) acting as directors, officers and members of local, provincial, national and international bar associations and their various committees and sections; and

(e) acting as directors, officers and members of non-profit or charitable organizations.
CHAPTER 2 - RELATIONSHIP TO CLIENTS

2.01 COMPETENCE

Definitions

2.01 (1) In this rule

“Competent lawyer” means a lawyer who has and applies relevant knowledge, skills and attributes in a manner appropriate to each matter undertaken on behalf of a client and the nature and terms of the lawyer’s engagement, including:

(a) knowing general legal principles and procedures and the substantive law and procedure for the areas of law in which the lawyer practises;

(b) investigating facts, identifying issues, ascertaining client objectives, considering possible options and developing and advising the client on appropriate courses of action;

(c) implementing as each matter requires, the chosen course of action through the application of appropriate skills, including:

(i) legal research;

(ii) analysis;

(iii) application of the law to the relevant facts;

(iv) writing and drafting;

(v) negotiation;

(vi) alternative dispute resolution;

(vii) advocacy; and

(viii) problem solving;

(d) communicating at all relevant stages of a matter in a timely and effective manner;

(e) performing all functions conscientiously, diligently and in a timely and cost-effective manner;

(f) applying intellectual capacity, judgment and deliberation to all functions;

(g) complying in letter and spirit with all rules pertaining to the appropriate professional conduct of lawyers;

(h) recognizing limitations in one’s ability to handle a matter or some aspect of it and taking steps accordingly to ensure the client is appropriately served;
(i) managing one’s practice effectively;
(j) pursuing appropriate professional development to maintain and enhance legal knowledge and skills; and
(k) otherwise adapting to changing professional requirements, standards, techniques and practices.

Competence

2.01 (2) A lawyer must perform all legal services undertaken on a client’s behalf to the standard of a competent lawyer.

Commentary

As a member of the legal profession, a lawyer is held out as knowledgeable, skilled and capable in the practice of law. Accordingly, the client is entitled to assume that the lawyer has the ability and capacity to deal adequately with all legal matters to be undertaken on the client’s behalf.

Competence is founded upon both ethical and legal principles. This rule addresses the ethical principles. Competence involves more than an understanding of legal principles: it involves an adequate knowledge of the practice and procedures by which such principles can be effectively applied. To accomplish this, the lawyer should keep abreast of developments in all areas of law in which the lawyer practises.

For a discussion of the correct procedure in swearing an affidavit or taking a solemn declaration, see Appendix A to this Code.

In deciding whether the lawyer has employed the requisite degree of knowledge and skill in a particular matter, relevant factors will include:

(a) the complexity and specialized nature of the matter;
(b) the lawyer’s general experience;
(c) the lawyer’s training and experience in the field;
(d) the preparation and study the lawyer is able to give the matter; and
(e) whether it is appropriate or feasible to refer the matter to, or associate or consult with, a lawyer of established competence in the field in question.

In some circumstances, expertise in a particular field of law may be required; often the necessary degree of proficiency will be that of the general practitioner.

A lawyer should not undertake a matter without honestly feeling competent to handle it, or being able to become competent without undue delay, risk or expense to the client.
The lawyer who proceeds on any other basis is not being honest with the client. This is an ethical consideration and is distinct from the standard of care that a tribunal would invoke for purposes of determining negligence.

A lawyer must recognize a task for which the lawyer lacks competence and the disservice that would be done to the client by undertaking that task. If consulted about such a task, the lawyer should:

(a) decline to act;

(b) obtain the client’s instructions to retain, consult or collaborate with a lawyer who is competent for that task; or

(c) obtain the client’s consent for the lawyer to become competent without undue delay, risk or expense to the client.

The lawyer should also recognize that competence for a particular task may require seeking advice from or collaborating with experts in scientific, accounting or other non-legal fields, and, when it is appropriate, the lawyer should not hesitate to seek the client’s instructions to consult experts.

A lawyer should clearly specify the facts, circumstances and assumptions on which an opinion is based, particularly when the circumstances do not justify an exhaustive investigation and the resultant expense to the client. However, unless the client instructs otherwise, the lawyer should investigate the matter in sufficient detail to be able to express an opinion rather than mere comments with many qualifications.

A lawyer should be wary of bold and over-confident assurances to the client, especially when the lawyer’s employment may depend upon advising in a particular way.

In addition to opinions on legal questions, a lawyer may be asked for or may be expected to give advice on non-legal matters such as the business, economic, policy or social complications involved in the question or the course the client should choose. In many instances the lawyer’s experience will be such that the lawyer’s views on non-legal matters will be of real benefit to the client. The lawyer who expresses views on such matters should, if necessary and to the extent necessary, point out any lack of experience or other qualification in the particular field and should clearly distinguish legal advice from other advice.

In a multi-discipline practice, a lawyer must ensure that the client is made aware that the legal advice from the lawyer may be supplemented by advice or services from a non-lawyer. Advice or services from non-lawyer members of the firm unrelated to the retainer for legal services must be provided independently of and outside the scope of the legal services retainer and from a location separate from the premises of the multi-discipline practice. The provision of non-legal advice or services unrelated to the legal
services retainer will also be subject to the constraints outlined in the rules/by-laws/regulations governing multi-discipline practices.

The requirement of conscientious, diligent and efficient service means that a lawyer should make every effort to provide timely service to the client. If the lawyer can reasonably foresee undue delay in providing advice or services, the client should be so informed.

The lawyer should refrain from conduct that may interfere with or compromise his or her capacity or motivation to provide competent legal services to the client and be aware of any factor or circumstance that may have that effect.

A lawyer who is incompetent does the client a disservice, brings discredit to the profession and may bring the administration of justice into disrepute. In addition to damaging the lawyer’s own reputation and practice, incompetence may also injure the lawyer’s partners and associates.

**Incompetence, Negligence and Mistakes** - This rule does not require a standard of perfection. An error or omission, even though it might be actionable for damages in negligence or contract, will not necessarily constitute a failure to maintain the standard of professional competence described by the rule. However, evidence of gross neglect in a particular matter or a pattern of neglect or mistakes in different matters may be evidence of such a failure, regardless of tort liability. While damages may be awarded for negligence, incompetence can give rise to the additional sanction of disciplinary action.

### 2.02 QUALITY OF SERVICE

**Quality of Service**

**2.02 (1)** A lawyer has a duty to provide courteous, thorough and prompt service to clients. The quality of service required of a lawyer is service that is competent, timely, conscientious, diligent, efficient and civil.

**Commentary**

This rule should be read and applied in conjunction with Rule 2.01 regarding competence.

A lawyer has a duty to provide a quality of service at least equal to that which lawyers generally expect of a competent lawyer in a like situation. An ordinarily or otherwise competent lawyer may still occasionally fail to provide an adequate quality of service.
A lawyer has a duty to communicate effectively with the client. What is effective will vary depending on the nature of the retainer, the needs and sophistication of the client and the need for the client to make fully informed decisions and provide instructions.

A lawyer should ensure that matters are attended to within a reasonable time frame. If the lawyer can reasonably foresee undue delay in providing advice or services, the lawyer has a duty to so inform the client, so that the client can make an informed choice about his or her options, such as whether to retain new counsel.

**Examples of expected practices**

The quality of service to a client may be measured by the extent to which a lawyer maintains certain standards in practice. The following list, which is illustrative and not exhaustive, provides key examples of expected practices in this area:

(a) keeping a client reasonably informed;
(b) answering reasonable requests from a client for information;
(c) responding to a client’s telephone calls;
(d) keeping appointments with a client, or providing a timely explanation or apology when unable to keep such an appointment;
(e) taking appropriate steps to do something promised to a client, or informing or explaining to the client when it is not possible to do so; ensuring, where appropriate, that all instructions are in writing or confirmed in writing;
(f) answering, within a reasonable time, any communication that requires a reply;
(g) ensuring that work is done in a timely manner so that its value to the client is maintained;
(h) providing quality work and giving reasonable attention to the review of documentation to avoid delay and unnecessary costs to correct errors or omissions;
(i) maintaining office staff, facilities and equipment adequate to the lawyer’s practice;
(j) informing a client of a proposal of settlement, and explaining the proposal properly;
(k) providing a client with complete and accurate relevant information about a matter;
(l) making a prompt and complete report when the work is finished or, if a final report cannot be made, providing an interim report when one might
reasonably be expected;

(m) avoidance of self-induced disability, for example from the use of intoxicants or drugs, that interferes with or prejudices the lawyer's services to the client;

(n) being civil.

A lawyer should meet deadlines, unless the lawyer is able to offer a reasonable explanation and ensure that no prejudice to the client will result. Whether or not a specific deadline applies, a lawyer should be prompt in prosecuting a matter, responding to communications and reporting developments to the client. In the absence of developments, contact with the client should be maintained to the extent the client reasonably expects.

Honesty and Candour

2.02 (2) When advising a client, a lawyer must be honest and candid and must inform the client of all information known to the lawyer that may affect the interests of the client in the matter.

Commentary

A lawyer should disclose to the client all the circumstances of the lawyer's relations to the parties and interest in or connection with the matter, if any that might influence whether the client selects or continues to retain the lawyer.

A lawyer's duty to a client who seeks legal advice is to give the client a competent opinion based on a sufficient knowledge of the relevant facts, an adequate consideration of the applicable law and the lawyer's own experience and expertise. The advice must be open and undisguised and must clearly disclose what the lawyer honestly thinks about the merits and probable results.

Occasionally, a lawyer must be firm with a client. Firmness, without rudeness, is not a violation of the rule. In communicating with the client, the lawyer may disagree with the client's perspective, or may have concerns about the client's position on a matter, and may give advice that will not please the client. This may legitimately require firm and animated discussion with the client.
When the Client is an Organization

2.02 (3) Although a lawyer may receive instructions from an officer, employee, agent or representative, when a lawyer is employed or retained by an organization, including a corporation, the lawyer must act for the organization in exercising his or her duties and in providing professional services.

Commentary

A lawyer acting for an organization should keep in mind that the organization, as such, is the client and that a corporate client has a legal personality distinct from its shareholders, officers, directors and employees. While the organization or corporation acts and gives instructions through its officers, directors, employees, members, agents or representatives, the lawyer should ensure that it is the interests of the organization that are served and protected. Further, given that an organization depends on persons to give instructions, the lawyer should be satisfied that the person giving instructions for the organization is acting within that person’s authority.

In addition to acting for the organization, a lawyer may also accept a joint retainer and act for a person associated with the organization. For example, a lawyer may advise an officer of an organization about liability insurance. In such cases the lawyer acting for an organization should be alert to the prospects of conflicts of interests and should comply with the rules about the avoidance of conflicts of interests (Rule 2.04).

Encouraging Compromise or Settlement

2.02 (4) A lawyer must advise and encourage a client to compromise or settle a dispute whenever it is possible to do so on a reasonable basis and must discourage the client from commencing or continuing useless legal proceedings.

Commentary

A lawyer should consider the use of alternative dispute resolution (ADR) when appropriate, inform the client of ADR options and, if so instructed, take steps to pursue those options.

Threatening Criminal or Regulatory Proceedings

2.02 (5) A lawyer must not, in an attempt to gain a benefit for a client, threaten, or advise a client to threaten:

(a) to initiate or proceed with a criminal or quasi-criminal charge; or

(b) to make a complaint to a regulatory authority.
Commentary

It is an abuse of the court or regulatory authority’s process to threaten to make or advance a complaint in order to secure the satisfaction of a private grievance. Even if a client has a legitimate entitlement to be paid monies, threats to take criminal or quasi-criminal action are not appropriate.

It is not improper, however, to notify the appropriate authority of criminal or quasi-criminal activities while also taking steps through the civil system.

Inducement for Withdrawal of Criminal or Regulatory Proceedings

2.02 (6) A lawyer must not:

(a) give or offer to give, or advise an accused or any other person to give or offer to give, any valuable consideration to another person in exchange for influencing the Crown or a regulatory authority’s conduct of a criminal or quasi-criminal charge or a complaint, unless the lawyer obtains the consent of the Crown or the regulatory authority to enter into such discussions;

(b) accept or offer to accept, or advise a person to accept or offer to accept, any valuable consideration in exchange for influencing the Crown or a regulatory authority’s conduct of a criminal or quasi-criminal charge or a complaint, unless the lawyer obtains the consent of the Crown or regulatory authority to enter such discussions; or

(c) wrongfully influence any person to prevent the Crown or regulatory authority from proceeding with charges or a complaint or to cause the Crown or regulatory authority to withdraw the complaint or stay charges in a criminal or quasi-criminal proceeding.

Commentary

“Regulatory authority” includes professional and other regulatory bodies.

A lawyer for an accused or potential accused must never influence a complainant or potential complainant not to communicate or cooperate with the Crown. However, this rule does not prevent a lawyer for an accused or potential accused from communicating with a complainant or potential complainant to obtain factual information, arrange for restitution or an apology from an accused, or defend or settle any civil matters between the accused and the complainant. When a proposed resolution involves valuable consideration being exchanged in return for influencing the Crown or regulatory authority not to proceed with a charge or to seek a reduced sentence or penalty, the lawyer for the accused must obtain the consent of the Crown or regulatory authority prior to discussing such proposal with the complainant or potential complainant. Similarly, lawyers advising a complainant or potential
complainant with respect to any such negotiations can do so only with the consent of the Crown or regulatory authority.

A lawyer cannot provide an assurance that the settlement of a related civil matter will result in the withdrawal of criminal or quasi-criminal charges, absent the consent of the Crown or regulatory authority.

When the complainant or potential complainant is unrepresented, the lawyer should have regard to the rules respecting unrepresented persons and make it clear that the lawyer is acting exclusively in the interests of the accused. If the complainant or potential complainant is vulnerable, the lawyer should take care not to take unfair or improper advantage of the circumstances. When communicating with an unrepresented complainant or potential complainant, it is prudent to have a witness present.

Dishonesty, Fraud by Client

2.02 (7) When acting for a client, a lawyer must not engage in any activity that the lawyer knows or ought to know assists in or encourages any dishonesty, crime or fraud, including a fraudulent conveyance, preference or settlement.

Commentary

A lawyer should be on guard against becoming the tool or dupe of an unscrupulous client, or of others, whether or not associated with the unscrupulous client.

A lawyer should be alert to and avoid unwittingly becoming involved with a client engaged in criminal activities such as mortgage fraud or money laundering. Vigilance is required because the means for these, and other criminal activities, may be transactions for which lawyers commonly provide services such as: establishing, purchasing or selling business entities; arranging financing for the purchase or sale or operation of business entities; arranging financing for the purchase or sale of business assets; and purchasing and selling real estate.

Before accepting a retainer, or during a retainer, if a lawyer has suspicions or doubts about whether he or she might be assisting a client in any dishonesty, crime or fraud, the lawyer should make reasonable inquiries to obtain information about the client and about the subject matter and objectives of the retainer. These should include making reasonable attempts to verify the legal or beneficial ownership of property and business entities and who has the control of business entities, and to clarify the nature and purpose of a complex or unusual transaction where the nature and purpose are not clear.

The lawyer should also make inquiries of a client who:
(a) seeks the use of the lawyer’s trust account without requiring any substantial legal services from the lawyer in connection with the trust matter, or

(b) promises unrealistic returns on their investment to third parties who have placed money in trust with the lawyer or have been invited to do so.

The lawyer should make a record of the results of these inquiries.

A bona fide test case is not necessarily precluded by this subrule and, so long as no injury to a person or violence is involved, a lawyer may properly advise and represent a client who, in good faith and on reasonable grounds, desires to challenge or test a law and the test can most effectively be made by means of a technical breach giving rise to a test case. In all situations, the lawyer should ensure that the client appreciates the consequences of bringing a test case.

**Dishonesty, Fraud when Client an Organization**

2.02 (8) A lawyer who is employed or retained by an organization to act in a matter in which the lawyer knows or ought to know that the organization has acted, is acting or intends to act dishonestly, criminally or fraudulently, must do the following, in addition to his or her obligations under subrule (7):

(a) advise the person from whom the lawyer takes instructions and the chief legal officer, or both the chief legal officer and the chief executive officer, that the proposed conduct is, was or would be dishonest, criminal or fraudulent and should be stopped;

(b) if necessary because the person from whom the lawyer takes instructions, the chief legal officer or the chief executive officer refuses to cause the proposed conduct to be stopped, advise progressively the next highest persons or groups, including ultimately, the board of directors, the board of trustees, or the appropriate committee of the board, that the proposed conduct was, is or would be dishonest, criminal or fraudulent and should be stopped; and

(c) if the organization, despite the lawyer’s advice, continues with or intends to pursue the proposed wrongful conduct, withdraw from acting in the matter in accordance with Rule 2.07.

**Commentary**

The past, present, or proposed misconduct of an organization may have harmful and serious consequences, not only for the organization and its constituency, but also for the public who rely on organizations to provide a variety of goods and services. In particular, the misconduct of publicly traded commercial and financial corporations may
have serious consequences for the public at large. This rule addresses some of the professional responsibilities of a lawyer acting for an organization, including a corporation, when he or she learns that the organization has acted, is acting, or proposes to act in a way that is dishonest, criminal or fraudulent. In addition to these rules, the lawyer may need to consider, for example, the rules and commentary about confidentiality (Rule 2.03).

This subrule speaks of conduct that is dishonest, criminal or fraudulent. Such conduct includes acts of omission. Indeed, often it is the omissions of an organization, such as failing to make required disclosure or to correct inaccurate disclosures that constitute the wrongful conduct to which these rules relate. Conduct likely to result in substantial harm to the organization, as opposed to genuinely trivial misconduct by an organization, invokes these rules.

In considering his or her responsibilities under this section, a lawyer should consider whether it is feasible and appropriate to give any advice in writing.

A lawyer acting for an organization who learns that the organization has acted, is acting, or intends to act in a wrongful manner, may advise the chief executive officer and must advise the chief legal officer of the misconduct. If the wrongful conduct is not abandoned or stopped, the lawyer must report the matter “up the ladder” of responsibility within the organization until the matter is dealt with appropriately. If the organization, despite the lawyer’s advice, continues with the wrongful conduct, the lawyer must withdraw from acting in the particular matter in accordance with Rule 2.07. In some but not all cases, withdrawal means resigning from his or her position or relationship with the organization and not simply withdrawing from acting in the particular matter.

This rule recognizes that lawyers as the legal advisers to organizations are in a central position to encourage organizations to comply with the law and to advise that it is in the organization’s and the public’s interest that organizations do not violate the law. Lawyers acting for organizations are often in a position to advise the executive officers of the organization, not only about the technicalities of the law, but also about the public relations and public policy concerns that motivated the government or regulator to enact the law. Moreover, lawyers for organizations, particularly in-house counsel, may guide organizations to act in ways that are legal, ethical, reputable and consistent with the organization’s responsibilities to its constituents and to the public.
Clients with Diminished Capacity

2.02(9) When a client’s ability to make decisions is impaired because of minority or mental disability, or for some other reason, the lawyer must, as far as reasonably possible, maintain a normal lawyer and client relationship.

Commentary

A lawyer and client relationship presupposes that the client has the requisite mental ability to make decisions about his or her legal affairs and to give the lawyer instructions. A client’s ability to make decisions depends on such factors as age, intelligence, experience and mental and physical health and on the advice, guidance and support of others. A client’s ability to make decisions may change, for better or worse, over time. A client may be mentally capable of making some decisions but not others. The key is whether the client has the ability to understand the information relative to the decision that has to be made and is able to appreciate the reasonably foreseeable consequences of the decision or lack of decision. Accordingly, when a client is, or comes to be, under a disability that impairs his or her ability to make decisions, the lawyer will have to assess whether the impairment is minor or whether it prevents the client from giving instructions or entering into binding legal relationships.

A lawyer who believes a person to be incapable of giving instructions should decline to act. However, if a lawyer reasonably believes that the person has no other agent or representative and a failure to act could result in imminent and irreparable harm, the lawyer may take action on behalf of the person lacking capacity only to the extent necessary to protect the person until a legal representative can be appointed. A lawyer undertaking to so act has the same duties under these rules to the person lacking capacity as the lawyer would with any client.

If a client’s incapacity is discovered or arises after the solicitor-client relationship is established, the lawyer may need to take steps to have a lawfully authorized representative, such as a litigation guardian, appointed or to obtain the assistance of the Office of the Public Trustee to protect the interests of the client. Whether that should be done depends on all relevant circumstances, including the importance and urgency of any matter requiring instruction. In any event, the lawyer has an ethical obligation to ensure that the client’s interests are not abandoned. Until the appointment of a legal representative occurs, the lawyer should act to preserve and protect the client’s interests.

In some circumstances when there is a legal representative, the lawyer may disagree with the legal representative’s assessment of what is in the best interests of the client under a disability. So long as there is no lack of good faith or authority, the judgment of the legal representative should prevail. If a lawyer becomes aware of conduct or intended conduct of the legal representative that is clearly in bad faith or outside that person’s authority, and contrary to the best interests of the client with diminished
capacity, the lawyer may act to protect those interests. This may require reporting the misconduct to a person or institution such as a family member or the Public Trustee.

When a lawyer takes protective action on behalf of a person or client lacking in capacity, the authority to disclose necessary confidential information may be implied in some circumstances: See Commentary under Rule 2.03(1) (Confidentiality) for a discussion of the relevant factors. If the court or other counsel becomes involved, the lawyer should inform them of the nature of the lawyer’s relationship with the person lacking capacity.

Restricting Future Representation

2.02 (10) A lawyer must not participate in offering or making an agreement in which a restriction on any lawyer’s right to practise is part of the settlement of a client lawsuit or other controversy.

2.03 CONFIDENTIALITY

Confidential Information

2.03 (1) A lawyer at all times must hold in strict confidence all information concerning the business and affairs of a client acquired in the course of the professional relationship and must not divulge any such information unless:

(a) expressly or impliedly authorized by the client;

(b) required by law or a court to do so;

(c) required to deliver the information to the Law Society, or

(d) otherwise permitted by this rule.

Commentary

A lawyer cannot render effective professional service to a client unless there is full and unreserved communication between them. At the same time, the client must feel completely secure and entitled to proceed on the basis that, without any express request or stipulation on the client’s part, matters disclosed to or discussed with the lawyer will be held in strict confidence.

This rule must be distinguished from the evidentiary rule of lawyer and client privilege, which is also a constitutionally protected right, concerning oral or documentary communications passing between the client and the lawyer. The ethical rule is wider and applies without regard to the nature or source of the information or the fact that others may share the knowledge.
A lawyer owes the duty of confidentiality to every client without exception and whether or not the client is a continuing or casual client. The duty survives the professional relationship and continues indefinitely after the lawyer has ceased to act for the client, whether or not differences have arisen between them.

A lawyer also owes a duty of confidentiality to anyone seeking advice or assistance on a matter invoking a lawyer’s professional knowledge, although the lawyer may not render an account or agree to represent that person. A solicitor and client relationship is often established without formality. A lawyer should be cautious in accepting confidential information on an informal or preliminary basis, since possession of the information may prevent the lawyer from subsequently acting for another party in the same or a related matter. (See Rule 2.04 Conflicts.)

Generally, unless the nature of the matter requires such disclosure, a lawyer should not disclose having been:

(a) retained by a person about a particular matter; or

(b) consulted by a person about a particular matter, whether or not the lawyer-client relationship has been established between them.

A lawyer should take care to avoid disclosure to one client of confidential information concerning or received from another client and should decline employment that might require such disclosure.

Sole practitioners who practise in association with other lawyers in cost-sharing, space-sharing or other arrangements should be mindful of the risk of advertent or inadvertent disclosure of confidential information, even if the lawyers institute systems and procedures that are designed to insulate their respective practices. The issue may be heightened if a lawyer in the association represents a client on the other side of a dispute with the client of another lawyer in the association. Apart from conflict of interest issues such a situation may raise, the risk of such disclosure may depend on the extent to which the lawyers’ practices are integrated, physically and administratively, in the association.

A lawyer should avoid indiscreet conversations and other communications, even with the lawyer’s spouse or family, about a client’s affairs and should shun any gossip about such things even though the client is not named or otherwise identified. Similarly, a lawyer should not repeat any gossip or information about the client’s business or affairs that is overheard or recounted to the lawyer. Apart altogether from ethical considerations or questions of good taste, indiscreet shoptalk among lawyers, if overheard by third parties able to identify the matter being discussed, could result in prejudice to the client. Moreover, the respect of the listener for lawyers and the legal profession will probably be lessened. Although the rule may not apply to facts that are public knowledge, a lawyer should guard against participating in or commenting on speculation concerning clients’
affairs or business.

In some situations, the authority of the client to disclose may be inferred. For example, in court proceedings some disclosure may be necessary in a pleading or other court document. Also, it is implied that a lawyer may, unless the client directs otherwise, disclose the client’s affairs to partners and associates in the law firm and, to the extent necessary, to administrative staff and to others whose services are used by the lawyer. But this implied authority to disclose places the lawyer under a duty to impress upon associates, employees, students and other lawyers engaged under contract with the lawyer or with the firm of the lawyer the importance of non-disclosure (both during their employment and afterwards) and requires the lawyer to take reasonable care to prevent their disclosing or using any information that the lawyer is bound to keep in confidence.

The client’s authority for the lawyer to disclose confidential information to the extent necessary to protect the client’s interest may also be inferred in some situations where the lawyer is taking action on behalf of the person lacking capacity to protect the person until a legal representative can be appointed. In determining whether a lawyer may disclose such information, the lawyer should consider all circumstances, including the reasonableness of the lawyer’s belief the person lacks capacity, the potential harm that may come to the client if no action is taken, and any instructions the client may have given the lawyer when capable of giving instructions about the authority to disclose information. Similar considerations apply to confidential information given to the lawyer by a person who lacks the capacity to become a client but nevertheless requires protection.

A lawyer may have an obligation to disclose information under Rules 4.05 (2) and (3) and 4.06 (3). If client information is involved in those situations, the lawyer should be guided by the provisions of this rule.

Use of Confidential Information

2.03 (2) A lawyer must not use or disclose a client’s or former client’s confidential information to the disadvantage of the client or former client, or for the benefit of the lawyer or a third person without the consent of the client or former client.

Commentary

The fiduciary relationship between a lawyer and a client forbids the lawyer or a third person from benefiting from the lawyer’s use of a client’s confidential information. If a lawyer engages in literary works, such as a memoir or autobiography, the lawyer is required to obtain the client’s or former client’s consent before disclosing confidential information.
Future Harm / Public Safety Exception

2.03 (3) A lawyer may disclose confidential information, but must not disclose more information than is required, when the lawyer believes on reasonable grounds that there is an imminent risk of death or serious bodily harm, and disclosure is necessary to prevent the death or harm.

Commentary

Confidentiality and loyalty are fundamental to the relationship between a lawyer and a client because legal advice cannot be given and justice cannot be done unless clients have a large measure of freedom to discuss their affairs with their lawyers. However, in some very exceptional situations identified in this subrule, disclosure without the client’s permission might be warranted because the lawyer is satisfied that truly serious harm of the types identified is imminent and cannot otherwise be prevented. These situations will be extremely rare.

The Supreme Court of Canada has considered the meaning of the words “serious bodily harm” in certain contexts, which may inform a lawyer in assessing whether disclosure of confidential information is warranted. In Smith v. Jones, [1999] 1 SCR 455 at paragraph 83, the Court also observed that serious psychological harm may constitute serious bodily harm if it substantially interferes with the health or well-being of the individual.

In assessing whether disclosure of confidential information is justified, a lawyer should consider a number of factors, including:

(a) the seriousness of the potential injury to others if the prospective harm occurs;
(b) the likelihood that it will occur and its imminence;
(c) the apparent absence of any other feasible way to prevent the potential injury; and
(d) the circumstances under which the lawyer acquired the information of the client’s intent or prospective course of action.

How and when disclosure should be made under this subrule will depend upon the circumstances. A lawyer who believes that disclosure may be warranted should contact the Law Society for ethical advice. When practicable and permitted, a judicial order may be sought for disclosure.

If confidential information is disclosed under this rule, the lawyer should prepare a written note as soon as possible, which should include:

(a) the date and time of the communication;
(b) the grounds in support of the lawyer’s decision to communicate the information, including the harm he or she intended to prevent, the identity of the person who
prompted him to communicate the information as well as the identity of the person or group of persons exposed to the harm; and
(c) the content of the communication, the method of communication used and the identity of the person to whom the communication was made.

2.03 (4) If it is alleged that a lawyer or the lawyer’s associates or employees:
(a) have committed a criminal offence involving a client’s affairs;
(b) are civilly liable with respect to a matter involving a client’s affairs;
(c) have committed acts of professional negligence; or
(d) have engaged in acts of professional misconduct or conduct unbecoming a lawyer,

the lawyer may disclose confidential information in order to defend against the allegations, but must not disclose more information than is required.

2.03 (5) A lawyer may disclose confidential information in order to establish or collect the lawyer’s fees, but must not disclose more information than is required.

2.03 (6) A lawyer may disclose confidential information to another lawyer to secure legal or ethical advice about the lawyer’s proposed conduct.

2.04 CONFLICTS

Duty to Avoid Conflicts of Interest

2.04 (1) A lawyer must not act or continue to act for a client where there is a conflict of interest, except as permitted under this Code.

Commentary

In a real property transaction, a lawyer may act for more than one party with different interests only in the circumstances permitted by Appendix C.

As defined in these rules, a conflict of interest exists when there is a substantial risk that a lawyer’s loyalty to or representation of a client would be materially and adversely affected by the lawyer’s own interest or the lawyer’s duties to another client, a former client, or a third person. The risk must be more than a mere possibility; there must be a genuine, serious risk to the duty of loyalty or to client representation arising from the retainer. A client’s interests may be seriously prejudiced unless the lawyer’s judgment and freedom of action on the client’s behalf are as free as possible from conflicts of
A lawyer should examine whether a conflict of interest exists not only from the outset but throughout the duration of a retainer because new circumstances or information may establish or reveal a conflict of interest.

The general prohibition and permitted activity prescribed by this rule apply to a lawyer’s duties to current, former, concurrent and joint clients as well as to the lawyer’s own interests.

**Representation**

Representation means acting for a client and includes the lawyer’s advice to and judgment on behalf of the client.

**The fiduciary relationship, the duty of loyalty and conflicting interests**

The value of an independent bar is diminished unless the lawyer is free from conflicts of interest. The rule governing conflicts of interest is founded in the duty of loyalty which is grounded in the law governing fiduciaries. The lawyer-client relationship is a fiduciary relationship and as such, the lawyer has a duty of loyalty to the client. To maintain public confidence in the integrity of the legal profession and the administration of justice, in which lawyers play a key role, it is essential that lawyers respect the duty of loyalty. Arising from the duty of loyalty are other duties, such as a duty to commit to the client’s cause, the duty of confidentiality, the duty of candour and the duty not to act in a conflict of interest. This obligation is premised on an established or ongoing lawyer client relationship in which the client must be assured of the lawyer’s undivided loyalty, free from any material impairment of the lawyer and client relationship.

The rule reflects the principle articulated by the Supreme Court of Canada in the cases of *R. v. Neil* 2002 SCC 70 and *Strother v, 3464920 Canada Inc.* 2007 SCC 24, regarding conflicting interests involving current clients, that a lawyer must not represent one client whose legal interests are directly adverse to the immediate legal interests of another client without consent. This duty arises even if the matters are unrelated. The lawyer client relationship may be irreparably damaged where the lawyer’s representation of one client is directly adverse to another client’s immediate interests. One client may legitimately fear that the lawyer will not pursue the representation out of deference to the other client, and an existing client may legitimately feel betrayed by the lawyer’s representation of a client with adverse legal interests. The prohibition on acting in such circumstances except with the consent of the clients guards against such outcomes and protects the lawyer client relationship.

Accordingly, factors for the lawyer’s consideration in determining whether a conflict of interest exists include:
• the immediacy of the legal interests;
• whether the legal interests are directly adverse;
• whether the issue is substantive or procedural;
• the temporal relationship between the matters;
• the significance of the issue to the immediate and long-term interests of the clients involved; and
• the clients’ reasonable expectations in retaining the lawyer for the particular matter or representation.

Examples of areas where conflicts of interest may occur

Conflicts of interest can arise in many different circumstances. The following examples are intended to provide illustrations of circumstances that may give rise to conflicts of interest. The examples are not exhaustive.

1. A lawyer acts as an advocate in one matter against a person when the lawyer represents that person on some other matter.

2. A lawyer provides legal advice to a small business on a series of commercial transactions and at the same time provides legal advice to an employee of the business on an employment matter, thereby acting for clients whose legal interests are directly adverse.

3. A lawyer, an associate, a law partner or a family member has a personal financial interest in a client’s affairs or in a matter in which the lawyer is requested to act for a client, such as a partnership interest in some joint business venture with a client.

A lawyer owning a small number of shares of a publicly traded corporation would not necessarily have a conflict of interest in acting for the corporation because the holding may have no adverse influence on the lawyer’s judgment or loyalty to the client.

4. A lawyer has a sexual or close personal relationship with a client.

Such a relationship may conflict with the lawyer’s duty to provide objective, disinterested professional advice to the client. The relationship may obscure whether certain information was acquired in the course of the lawyer and client relationship and may
jeopardize the client’s right to have all information concerning his or her affairs held in strict confidence. The relationship may in some circumstances permit exploitation of the client by his or her lawyer. If the lawyer is a member of a firm and concludes that a conflict exists, the conflict is not imputed to the lawyer’s firm, but would be cured if another lawyer in the firm who is not involved in such a relationship with the client handled the client’s work.

5. A lawyer or his or her law firm acts for a public or private corporation and the lawyer serves as a director of the corporation.

These two roles may result in a conflict of interest or other problems because they may

- affect the lawyer’s independent judgment and fiduciary obligations in either or both roles,
- obscure legal advice from business and practical advice,
- jeopardize the protection of lawyer and client privilege, and
- disqualify the lawyer or the law firm from acting for the organization.

6. Sole practitioners who practise with other lawyers in cost-sharing or other arrangements represent clients on opposite sides of a dispute. See subrules (44) and (45) on space-sharing arrangements.

The fact or the appearance of such a conflict may depend on the extent to which the lawyers’ practices are integrated, physically and administratively, in the association.

Consent

2.04 (2) A lawyer must not represent a client in a matter when there is a conflict of interest unless there is express or implied consent from all clients and the lawyer reasonably believes that he or she is able to represent each client without having a material adverse effect upon the representation of or loyalty to the other client.

a) Express consent must be fully informed and voluntary after disclosure.

b) Consent may be inferred and need not be in writing where all of the following apply:

i. the client is a government, financial institution, publicly traded or similarly substantial entity, or an entity with in-house counsel;

ii. the matters are unrelated;
iii. the lawyer has no relevant confidential information from one client that might reasonably affect the other; and

iv. the client has commonly consented to lawyers acting for and against it in unrelated matters.

**Commentary**

**Disclosure and consent**

Disclosure is an essential requirement to obtaining a client’s consent. Where it is not possible to provide the client with adequate disclosure because of the confidentiality of the information of another client, the lawyer must decline to act.

The lawyer should inform the client of the relevant circumstances and the reasonably foreseeable ways that the conflict of interest could adversely affect the client’s interests. This would include the lawyer’s relations to the parties and any interest in or connection with the matter.

Following the required disclosure, the client can decide whether to give consent. As important as it is to the client that the lawyer’s judgment and freedom of action on the client’s behalf not be subject to other interests, duties or obligations, in practice this factor may not always be decisive. Instead, it may be only one of several factors that the client will weigh when deciding whether or not to give the consent referred to in the rule. Other factors might include, for example, the availability of another lawyer of comparable expertise and experience, the stage that the matter or proceeding has reached, the extra cost, delay and inconvenience involved in engaging another lawyer, and the latter’s unfamiliarity with the client and the client’s affairs.

**Consent in Advance**

A lawyer may be able to request that a client consent in advance to conflicts that might arise in the future. As the effectiveness of such consent is generally determined by the extent to which the client reasonably understands the material risks that the consent entails, the more comprehensive the explanation of the types of future representations that might arise and the actual and reasonably foreseeable adverse consequences of those representations, the greater the likelihood that the client will have the requisite understanding. A general, open-ended consent will ordinarily be ineffective because it is not reasonably likely that the client will have understood the material risks involved. If the client is an experienced user of the legal services involved and is reasonably informed regarding the risk that a conflict may arise, such consent is more likely to be effective, particularly if, for example, the client is independently represented by other counsel in giving consent and the consent is limited to future conflicts unrelated to the
subject of the representation.

While not a pre-requisite to advance consent, in some circumstances it may be advisable to recommend that the client obtain independent legal advice before deciding whether to provide consent. Advance consent must be recorded, for example in a retainer letter.

**Implied consent**

In some cases consent may be implied, rather than expressly granted. As the Supreme Court held in *Neil* and in *Strother*, however, the concept of implied consent is applicable in exceptional cases only. Governments, chartered banks and entities that might be considered sophisticated consumers of legal services may accept that lawyers may act against them in unrelated matters where there is no danger of misuse of confidential information. The more sophisticated the client is as a consumer of legal services, the more likely it will be that an inference of consent can be drawn. The mere nature of the client is not, however, a sufficient basis upon which to assume implied consent; the matters must be unrelated, the lawyer must not possess confidential information from one client that could affect the other client, and there must be a reasonable basis upon which to conclude that the client has commonly accepted that lawyers may act against it in such circumstances.

**Lawyer belief in reasonableness of representation**

The requirement that the lawyer reasonably believe that he or she is able to represent each client without having a material adverse effect on the representation of, or loyalty to, the other client precludes a lawyer from acting for parties to a transaction who have different interests, except where joint representation is permitted under this Code.

**Dispute**

2.04 (3) Despite subrule (2) a lawyer must not represent opposing parties in a dispute.

**Commentary**

A lawyer representing a client who is a party in a dispute with another party or parties must competently and diligently develop and argue the position of the client. In a dispute, the parties’ immediate legal interests are clearly adverse. If the lawyer were permitted to act for opposing parties in such circumstances even with consent, the lawyer’s advice, judgment and loyalty to one client would be materially and adversely affected by the same duties to the other client or clients. In short, the lawyer would find it impossible to act without offending these rules.
Concurrent Representation with protection of confidential client information

2.04 (4) Where there is no dispute among the clients about the matter that is the subject of the proposed representation, two or more lawyers in a law firm may act for current clients with competing interests and may treat information received from each client as confidential and not disclose it to the other clients, provided that:

(a) disclosure of the risks of the lawyers so acting has been made to each client;
(b) each client consents after having received independent legal advice, including on the risks of concurrent representation;
(c) the clients each determine that it is in their best interests that the lawyers so act;
(d) each client is represented by a different lawyer in the firm;
(e) appropriate screening mechanisms are in place to protect confidential information; and
(f) all lawyers in the law firm withdraw from the representation of all clients in respect of the matter if a dispute that cannot be resolved develops among the clients.

Commentary

This rule provides guidance on concurrent representation, which is permitted in limited circumstances. Concurrent representation is not contrary to the rule prohibiting representation where there is a conflict of interest provided that the clients are fully informed of the risks and understand that if a dispute arises among the clients that cannot be resolved the lawyers may have to withdraw, resulting in potential additional costs.

An example is a law firm acting for a number of sophisticated clients in a matter such as competing bids in a corporate acquisition in which, although the clients' interests are divergent and may conflict, the clients are not in a dispute. Provided that each client is represented by a different lawyer in the firm and there is no real risk that the firm will not be able to properly represent the legal interests of each client, the firm may represent both even though the subject matter of the retainers is the same. Whether or not a risk of impairment of representation exists is a question of fact.

The basis for the advice described in the rule from both the lawyers involved in the concurrent representation and those giving the required independent legal advice is whether concurrent representation is in the best interests of the clients. Even where all clients consent, the lawyers should not accept a concurrent retainer if the matter is one in which one of the clients is less sophisticated or more vulnerable than the other.

In cases of concurrent representation lawyers should employ, as applicable, the reasonable screening measures to ensure non-disclosure of confidential information.
Acting Against Former Clients

2.04 (5) Unless the former client consents, a lawyer must not act against a former client in:
   
   (a) the same matter,
   
   (b) any related matter, or
   
   (c) any other matter, if the lawyer has relevant confidential information arising from the representation of the former client that may reasonably affect the former client.

Commentary

This Rule prohibits a lawyer from attacking legal work done during the retainer, or from undermining the client’s position on a matter that was central to the retainer. It is not improper, however, for a lawyer to act against a former client in a matter wholly unrelated to any work the lawyer has previously done for that person if previously obtained confidential information is irrelevant to that matter.

2.04 (6) When a lawyer has acted for a former client and obtained confidential information relevant to a new matter, another lawyer in the lawyer’s firm may act against the former client in the new matter, if the firm establishes, in accordance with subrule (20), that it is reasonable that it act in the new matter, having regard to all relevant circumstances, including:

   (a) the adequacy and timing of the measures taken to ensure that no disclosure of the former client’s confidential information to the partner or associate having carriage of the new matter will occur;
   
   (b) the extent of prejudice to any party; and
   
   (c) the good faith of the parties.

Commentary

The guidelines at the end of Appendix D regarding lawyer transfers between firms provide valuable guidance for the protection of confidential information in the rare cases in which, having regard to all of the relevant circumstances, it is appropriate for the lawyer’s partner or associate to act against the former client.

Joint Retainers
2.04 (7) Before a lawyer is retained by more than one client in a matter or transaction, the lawyer must advise each of the clients that:

(a) the lawyer has been asked to act for both or all of them;

(b) no information received in connection with the matter from one client can be treated as confidential so far as any of the others are concerned; and

(c) if a conflict develops that cannot be resolved, the lawyer cannot continue to act for both or all of them and may have to withdraw completely.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Commentary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Although this rule does not require that a lawyer advise clients to obtain independent legal advice before the lawyer may accept a joint retainer, in some cases, the lawyer should recommend such advice to ensure that the clients' consent to the joint retainer is informed, genuine and uncoerced. This is especially so when one of the clients is less sophisticated or more vulnerable than the other. The Law Society website contains two precedent letters that lawyers may use as the basis for compliance with subrule (7).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A lawyer who receives instructions from spouses or partners to prepare one or more wills for them based on their shared understanding of what is to be in each will should treat the matter as a joint retainer and comply with subrule (7). Further, at the outset of this joint retainer, the lawyer should advise the spouses or partners that, if subsequently only one of them were to communicate new instructions, such as instructions to change or revoke a will:

(a) the subsequent communication would be treated as a request for a new retainer and not as part of the joint retainer;

(b) in accordance with Rule 2.03, the lawyer would be obliged to hold the subsequent communication in strict confidence and not disclose it to the other spouse or partner; and

(c) the lawyer would have a duty to decline the new retainer, unless:

(i) the spouses or partners had annulled their marriage, divorced, permanently ended their conjugal relationship or permanently ended their close personal relationship, as the case may be;

(ii) the other spouse or partner had died; or

(iii) the other spouse or partner was informed of the subsequent communication and agreed to the lawyer acting on the new instructions.

After advising the spouses or partners in the manner described above, the lawyer should obtain their consent to act in accordance with subrule (9).
2.04 (8) If a lawyer has a continuing relationship with a client for whom the lawyer acts regularly, before the lawyer accepts a joint retainer from that client and another client, the lawyer must advise the other client of the continuing relationship and recommend that the client obtain independent legal advice about the joint retainer.

2.04 (9) When a lawyer has advised the clients as provided under subrules (7) and (8) and the parties are content that the lawyer act, the lawyer must obtain their consent.

**Commentary**

Consent in writing, or a record of the consent in a separate letter to each client is required. Even if all the parties concerned consent, a lawyer should avoid acting for more than one client when it is likely that an issue contentious between them will arise or their interests, rights or obligations will diverge as the matter progresses.

2.04 (10) Except as provided by subrule (12), if a contentious issue arises between clients who have consented to a joint retainer, the lawyer must not advise them on the contentious issue and must:

(a) refer the clients to other lawyers; or  
(b) advise the clients of their option to settle the contentious issue by direct negotiation in which the lawyer does not participate, provided:

(i) no legal advice is required; and  
(ii) the clients are sophisticated.

2.04 (11) If the contentious issue referred to in subrule (10) is not resolved, the lawyer must withdraw from the joint representation.

**Commentary**

This rule does not prevent a lawyer from arbitrating or settling, or attempting to arbitrate or settle, a dispute between two or more clients or former clients who are not under any legal disability and who wish to submit the dispute to the lawyer.

If, after the clients have consented to a joint retainer, an issue contentious between them or some of them arises, the lawyer is not necessarily precluded from advising them on non-contentious matters.

2.04 (12) Subject to this rule, if clients consent to a joint retainer and also agree that, if a contentious issue arises, the lawyer may continue to advise one of them, the lawyer may advise that client about the contentious matter and must refer the other or others to another lawyer.
Commentary

This rule does not relieve the lawyer of the obligation, when the contentious issue arises, to obtain the consent of the clients if there is or is likely to be a conflicting interest, or if the representation on the contentious issue requires the lawyer to act against one of the clients. When entering into a joint retainer, the lawyer should stipulate that, if a contentious issue develops, the lawyer will be compelled to cease acting altogether unless, at the time the contentious issue develops, all parties consent to the lawyer’s continuing to represent one of them. Consent given before the fact may be ineffective since the party granting the consent will not at that time be in possession of all relevant information.

Limited representation

2.04 (13) In subrules (13) to (16) “limited legal services” means advice or representation of a summary nature provided by a lawyer to a client under the auspices of a not-for-profit organization with the expectation by the lawyer and the client that the lawyer will not provide continuing representation in the matter.

2.04 (14) A lawyer must not provide limited legal services if the lawyer is aware of a conflict of interest and must cease providing limited legal services if at any time the lawyer becomes aware of a conflict of interest.

2.04 (15) A lawyer may provide limited legal services notwithstanding that another lawyer has provided limited legal services under the auspices of the same not-for-profit organization to a client adverse in interest to the lawyer’s client, provided no confidential information about a client is available to another client from the not-for-profit organization.

2.04 (16) If a lawyer keeps information obtained as a result of providing limited legal services confidential from the lawyer’s partners and associates, the information is not imputed to the partners or associates, and a partner or associate of the lawyer may

(a) continue to act for another client adverse in interest to the client who is obtaining or has obtained limited legal services, and

(b) act in future for another client adverse in interest to the client who is obtaining or has obtained limited legal services.
Conflicts Arising as a Result of Transfer Between Law Firms

Application of Rule

2.04 (17) In subrules (17) to (25):

“confidential information” means information that is not generally known to the public obtained from a client; and

“matter” means a case or client file, but does not include general “know-how” and, in the case of a government lawyer, does not include policy advice unless the advice relates to a particular case.

Commentary

Subrules (17) to (25) apply to lawyers sharing space. Treating space-sharing lawyers as a law firm recognizes

(a) the concern that opposing clients may have about the appearance of proximity of lawyers sharing space, and

(b) the risk that lawyers sharing space may be exposed inadvertently to confidential information of an opposing client.

Subrules (17) to (25) apply to lawyers transferring to or from government service and into or out of an in-house counsel position, but do not extend to purely internal transfers in which, after transfer, the employer remains the same.

Subrules (17) to (25) treat as one “law firm” such entities as the various legal services units of a government, a corporation with separate regional legal departments, an inter-provincial law firm and a legal aid program with many community law offices. The more autonomous that each such unit or office is, the easier it should be, in the event of a conflict, for the new firm to obtain the former client’s consent.

See the definition of “MDP” in Rule 1 and Rules 2-23.1 to 2-23.14 of the Law Society Rules.

2.04 (18) Subrules (17) to (25) apply when a lawyer transfers from one law firm (“former law firm”) to another (“new law firm”), and either the transferring lawyer or the new law firm is aware at the time of the transfer or later discovers that:

(a) the new law firm represents a client in a matter that is the same as or related to a matter in which the former law firm represents its client (“former client”);

(b) the interests of those clients in that matter conflict; and

(c) the transferring lawyer actually possesses relevant information respecting that matter.
2.04 (19) Subrules (20) to (23) do not apply to a lawyer employed by a federal, provincial or territorial government who continues to be employed by that government after transferring from one department, ministry or agency to another.

**Firm Disqualification**

2.04 (20) If the transferring lawyer actually possesses confidential information relevant to a matter referred to in subrule (18)(a) respecting the former client that may prejudice the former client if disclosed to a member of the new law firm, the new law firm must cease its representation of its client in that matter unless:

(a) the former client consents to the new law firm’s continued representation of its client; or

(b) the new law firm can establish, in accordance with subrule (24), when called upon to do so by a party adverse in interest, that

(i) it is reasonable that its representation of its client in the matter continue, having regard to all relevant circumstances, including:

(A) the adequacy and timing of the measures taken under subparagraph (ii);

(B) the extent of prejudice to the affected clients; and

(C) the good faith of the former client and the client of the new law firm; and

(ii) it has taken reasonable measures to ensure that there will be no disclosure of the former client’s confidential information by the transferring lawyer to any member of the new law firm.

**Commentary**

Appendix D may be helpful in determining what constitutes “reasonable measures” in this context.

Issues arising as a result of a transfer between law firms should be dealt with promptly. A lawyer’s failure to promptly raise any issues identified may prejudice clients and may be considered sharp practice.

**Continued Representation not to Involve Transferring Lawyer**

2.04 (21) If the transferring lawyer actually possesses information relevant to a matter referred to in subrule (18)(a) respecting the former client, but that information is not confidential information that may prejudice the former client if disclosed to a member of the new law firm, the new law firm must notify its client of the relevant circumstances and its intended action under subrules (17) to (25).
2.04 (22) Unless the former client consents, a transferring lawyer to whom subrule (20) or (21) applies must not:

(a) participate in any manner in the new law firm’s representation of its client in that matter; or

(b) disclose any confidential information respecting the former client.

2.04 (23) Unless the former client consents, members of the new law firm must not discuss the new law firm’s representation of its client or the former law firm’s representation of the former client in that matter with a transferring lawyer to whom subrule (20) or (21) applies.

Determination of Compliance

2.04 (24) Notwithstanding remedies available at law, a lawyer who represents a party in a matter referred to in subrules (6) or (17) to (25) may seek the opinion of the Society on the application of those subrules.

Due Diligence

2.04 (25) A lawyer must exercise due diligence in ensuring that each member and employee of the lawyer’s law firm, and each other person whose services the lawyer has retained:

(a) complies with subrules (17) to (25), and

(b) does not disclose confidences of clients of

(i) the firm, and

(ii) another law firm in which the person has worked.

Conflicts with Clients

2.04 (26) A lawyer must not perform any legal services if it would reasonably be expected that the lawyer’s professional judgment would be affected by the lawyer’s or anyone else’s

(a) relationship with the client, or

(b) interest in the client or the subject matter of the legal services.

Commentary

Any relationship or interest that affects a lawyer’s professional judgment is to be avoided under this subrule, including ones involving a relative, partner, employer, employee, business associate or friend of the lawyer.
2.04 (27) The remuneration paid to a lawyer by a client for the legal work undertaken by the lawyer for the client is not a disqualifying interest under subrule (26).

Commentary

Generally speaking, a lawyer may act as legal advisor or as business associate, but not both. These principles are not intended to preclude a lawyer from performing legal services on his or her own behalf. Lawyers should be aware, however, that acting in certain circumstances may cause them to be uninsured as a result of Exclusion 6 in the B.C. Lawyers Compulsory Professional Liability Insurance Policy and similar provisions in other insurance policies.

Whether or not insurance coverage under the Compulsory Policy is lost is determined separate and apart from the ethical obligations addressed in this chapter. Review the current policy for the exact wording of Exclusion 6 or contact the Lawyers Insurance Fund regarding the application of the Exclusion to a particular set of circumstances.

Doing Business with a Client

Independent legal advice

2.04 (28) In subrules (28) to (43), when a client is required or advised to obtain independent legal advice concerning a matter, that advice may only be obtained by retaining a lawyer who has no conflicting interest in the matter.

2.04 (29) A lawyer giving independent legal advice under this Rule must:

(a) advise the client that the client has the right to independent legal representation;

(b) explain the legal aspects of the matter to the client, who appears to understand the advice given; and

(c) inform the client of the availability of qualified advisers in other fields who would be in a position to advise the client on the matter from a business point of view.

Commentary

A client is entitled to obtain independent legal representation by retaining a lawyer who has no conflicting interest in the matter to act for the client in relation to the matter.

If a client elects to waive independent legal representation and to rely on independent legal advice only, the lawyer retained has a responsibility that should not be lightly assumed or perfunctorily discharged.

Either independent legal representation or independent legal advice may be provided by
a lawyer employed by the client as in-house counsel.

2.04 (30) Subject to this rule, a lawyer must not enter into a transaction with a client unless the transaction is fair and reasonable to the client, the client consents to the transaction and the client has independent legal representation with respect to the transaction.

Commentary
This provision applies to any transaction with a client, including:
(a) lending or borrowing money;
(b) buying or selling property;
(c) accepting a gift, including a testamentary gift;
(d) giving or acquiring ownership, security or other pecuniary interest in a company or other entity;
(e) recommending an investment; and
(f) entering into a common business venture.

The relationship between lawyer and client is a fiduciary one, and no conflict between the lawyer’s own interest and the lawyer’s duty to the client can be permitted. The remuneration paid to a lawyer by a client for the legal work undertaken by the lawyer for the client does not give rise to a conflicting interest.

Investment by Client when Lawyer has an Interest

2.04 (31) Subject to subrule (32), if a client intends to enter into a transaction with his or her lawyer or with a corporation or other entity in which the lawyer has an interest other than a corporation or other entity whose securities are publicly traded, before accepting any retainer, the lawyer must
(a) disclose and explain the nature of the conflicting interest to the client or, in the case of a potential conflict, how and why it might develop later;
(b) recommend and require that the client receive independent legal advice; and
(c) if the client requests the lawyer to act, obtain the client’s consent.

Commentary
If the lawyer does not choose to disclose the conflicting interest or cannot do so without breaching confidence, the lawyer must decline the retainer.

A lawyer should not uncritically accept a client’s decision to have the lawyer act. It should be borne in mind that, if the lawyer accepts the retainer, the lawyer’s first duty will
be to the client. If the lawyer has any misgivings about being able to place the client’s interests first, the retainer should be declined.

Generally, in disciplinary proceedings under this rule, the burden will rest upon the lawyer to show good faith, that adequate disclosure was made in the matter, and that the client’s consent was obtained

If the investment is by borrowing from the client, the transaction may fall within the requirements of subrule (34).

2.04 (32) When a client intends to pay for legal services by transferring to a lawyer a share, participation or other interest in property or in an enterprise, other than a non-material interest in a publicly traded enterprise, the lawyer must recommend but need not require that the client receive independent legal advice before accepting a retainer.

Borrowing from Clients

2.04 (33) A lawyer must not borrow money from a client unless

(a) the client is a lending institution, financial institution, insurance company, trust company or any similar corporation whose business includes lending money to members of the public, or

(b) the client is a related person as defined by the Income Tax Act (Canada) and the lawyer is able to discharge the onus of proving that the client’s interests were fully protected by the nature of the matter and by independent legal advice or independent legal representation.

Commentary

Whether a person is considered a client within this rule when lending money to a lawyer on that person’s own account or investing money in a security in which the lawyer has an interest is determined having regard to all circumstances. If the circumstances are such that the lender or investor might reasonably feel entitled to look to the lawyer for guidance and advice about the loan or investment, the lawyer is bound by the same fiduciary obligation that attaches to a lawyer in dealings with a client.

Certificate of Independent Legal Advice

2.04 (34) A lawyer retained to give independent legal advice relating to a transaction in which funds are to be advanced by the client to another lawyer must do the following before the client advances any funds:

(a) provide the client with a written certificate that the client has received independent legal advice, and
(b) obtain the client’s signature on a copy of the certificate of independent legal advice and send the signed copy to the lawyer with whom the client proposes to transact business.

2.04 (35) Subject to subrule (33), if a lawyer’s spouse or a corporation, syndicate or partnership in which either or both of the lawyer and the lawyer’s spouse has a direct or indirect substantial interest borrow money from a client, the lawyer must ensure that the client’s interests are fully protected by the nature of the case and by independent legal representation.

Lawyers in Loan or Mortgage Transactions

2.04 (36) If a lawyer lends money to a client, before agreeing to make the loan, the lawyer must:

(a) disclose and explain the nature of the conflicting interest to the client;
(b) require that the client receive independent legal representation; and
(c) obtain the client’s consent.

Guarantees by a Lawyer

2.04 (37) Except as provided by subrule (38), a lawyer must not guarantee personally, or otherwise provide security for, any indebtedness in respect of which a client is a borrower or lender.

2.04 (38) A lawyer may give a personal guarantee in the following circumstances:

(a) the lender is a lending institution, financial institution, insurance company, trust company or any similar corporation whose business includes lending money to members of the public, and the lender is directly or indirectly providing funds solely for the lawyer, the lawyer’s spouse, parent or child;
(b) the transaction is for the benefit of a non-profit or charitable institution, and the lawyer provides a guarantee as a member or supporter of such institution, either individually or together with other members or supporters of the institution; or
(c) the lawyer has entered into a business venture with a client and a lender requires personal guarantees from all participants in the venture as a matter of course and:

(i) the lawyer has complied with this rule (Conflicts), in particular, subrules (28) to (43) (Doing Business with a Client); and
(ii) the lender and participants in the venture who are clients or former clients of the lawyer have independent legal representation.

Testamentary Instruments and Gifts
2.04 (39) A lawyer must not include in a client’s will a clause directing the executor to retain the lawyer’s services in the administration of the client’s estate.

2.04 (40) Unless the client is a family member of the lawyer or the lawyer’s partner or associate, a lawyer must not prepare or cause to be prepared an instrument giving the lawyer or an associate a gift or benefit from the client, including a testamentary gift.

2.04 (41) A lawyer must not accept a gift that is more than nominal from a client unless the client has received independent legal advice.

Judicial Interim Release

2.04 (42) A lawyer must not act as a surety for, deposit money or other valuable security for, or act in a supervisory capacity to an accused person for whom the lawyer acts.

2.04 (43) A lawyer may act as a surety for, deposit money or other valuable security for or act in a supervisory capacity to an accused who is in a family relationship with the lawyer when the accused is represented by the lawyer’s partner or associate.

Space-sharing arrangements

2.04 (44) Subrule (45) applies to lawyers sharing office space with one or more other lawyers, but not practising or being held out to be practising in partnership or association with the other lawyer or lawyers.

2.04 (45) Unless all lawyers sharing space together agree that they will not act for clients adverse in interest to the client of any of the others, each lawyer who is sharing space must disclose in writing to all of the lawyer’s clients:

(a) that an arrangement for sharing space exists,

(b) the identity of the lawyers who make up the firm acting for the client, and

(c) that lawyers sharing space with the firm are free to act for other clients who are adverse in interest to the client.

Commentary

Like other lawyers, those who share space must take all reasonable measures to ensure client confidentiality. Lawyers who do not wish to act for clients adverse in interest to clients of lawyers with whom they share space should establish an adequate conflicts check system.

In order both to ensure confidentiality and to avoid conflicts, a lawyer must have the consent of each client before disclosing any information about the client for the purpose of conflicts checks. Consent may be implied in some cases but, if there is any doubt, the
Preservation of Clients’ Property

In this rule, “property” includes a client’s money, securities as defined in [provincial legislation], original documents such as wills, title deeds, minute books, licences, certificates and the like, and all other papers such as client’s correspondence, files, reports, invoices and other such documents, as well as personal property including precious and semi-precious metals, jewellery and the like.

2.05 (1) A lawyer must:

(a) care for a client’s property as a careful and prudent owner would when dealing with like property; and

(b) observe all relevant rules and law about the preservation of a client’s property entrusted to a lawyer.

Commentary

The duties concerning safekeeping, preserving, and accounting for clients’ monies and other property are set out in the [rules/regulations/by-laws of the relevant Law Society].

These duties are closely related to those regarding confidential information. A lawyer is responsible for maintaining the safety and confidentiality of the files of the client in the possession of the lawyer and should take all reasonable steps to ensure the privacy and safekeeping of a client’s confidential information. A lawyer should keep the client’s papers and other property out of sight as well as out of reach of those not entitled to see them.

Subject to any rights of lien, the lawyer should promptly return a client’s property to the client on request or at the conclusion of the lawyer’s retainer.

If the lawyer withdraws from representing a client, the lawyer is required to comply with Rule 2.07 (Withdrawal from Representation).

Notification of Receipt of Property

2.05 (2) A lawyer must promptly notify a client of the receipt of any money or other property of the client, unless satisfied that the client is aware that they have come into the lawyer’s custody.
Identifying Clients’ Property

2.05 (3) A lawyer must clearly label and identify clients’ property and place it in safekeeping distinguishable from the lawyer’s own property.

2.05 (4) A lawyer must maintain such records as necessary to identify clients’ property that is in the lawyer’s custody.

Accounting and Delivery

2.05 (5) A lawyer must account promptly for clients’ property that is in the lawyer’s custody and deliver it to the order of the client on request or, if appropriate, at the conclusion of the retainer.

2.06 FEES AND DISBURSEMENTS

Reasonable Fees and Disbursements

2.06 (1) A lawyer must not charge or accept a fee or disbursement, including interest, unless it is fair and reasonable and has been disclosed in a timely fashion.

Commentary

What is a fair and reasonable fee depends on such factors as:

(a) the time and effort required and spent;
(b) the difficulty of the matter and the importance of the matter to the client;
(c) whether special skill or service has been required and provided;
(d) the results obtained;
(e) fees authorized by statute or regulation;
(f) special circumstances, such as the postponement of payment, uncertainty of reward, or urgency;
(g) the likelihood, if made known to the client, that acceptance of the retainer will result in the lawyer’s inability to accept other employment;
(h) any relevant agreement between the lawyer and the client;
(i) the experience and ability of the lawyer;
(j) any estimate or range of fees given by the lawyer; and
(k) the client’s prior consent to the fee.

The fiduciary relationship between lawyer and client requires full disclosure in all
financial dealings between them and prohibits the acceptance by the lawyer of any hidden fees. No fee, extra fees, reward, costs, commission, interest, rebate, agency or forwarding allowance, or other compensation related to professional employment may be taken by the lawyer from anyone other than the client without full disclosure to and the consent of the client or, where the lawyer’s fees are being paid by someone other than the client, such as a legal aid agency, a borrower, or a personal representative, without the consent of such agency or other person.

A lawyer should provide to the client in writing, before or within a reasonable time after commencing a representation, as much information regarding fees and disbursements, and interest, as is reasonable and practical in the circumstances, including the basis on which fees will be determined.

A lawyer should be ready to explain the basis of the fees and disbursement charged to the client. This is particularly important concerning fee charges or disbursements that the client might not reasonably be expected to anticipate. When something unusual or unforeseen occurs that may substantially affect the amount of a fee or disbursement, the lawyer should give to the client an immediate explanation. A lawyer should confirm with the client in writing the substance of all fee discussions that occur as a matter progresses, and a lawyer may revise an initial estimate of fees and disbursements.

Contingent Fees and Contingent Fee Agreements

2.06 (2) Subject to subrule (1), a lawyer may enter into a written agreement in accordance with governing legislation that provides that the lawyer’s fee is contingent, in whole or in part, on the outcome of the matter for which the lawyer’s services are to be provided.

Commentary

In determining the appropriate percentage or other basis of a contingency fee, a lawyer and client should consider a number of factors, including the likelihood of success, the nature and complexity of the claim, the expense and risk of pursuing it, the amount of the expected recovery and who is to receive an award of costs. The lawyer and client may agree that, in addition to the fee payable under the agreement, any amount arising as a result of an award of costs or costs obtained as a part of a settlement is to be paid to the lawyer, which may require judicial approval under the governing legislation. In such circumstances, a smaller percentage of the award than would otherwise be agreed upon for the contingency fee, after considering all relevant factors, will generally be appropriate. The test is whether the fee, in all of the circumstances, is fair and reasonable.

Although a lawyer is generally permitted to terminate the professional relationship with a client and withdraw services if there is justifiable cause as set out in Rule 2.07, special
circumstances apply when the retainer is pursuant to a contingency agreement. In such circumstances, the lawyer has impliedly undertaken the risk of not being paid in the event the suit is unsuccessful. Accordingly, a lawyer cannot withdraw from representation for reasons other than those set out in Rule 2.07 (7) (Obligatory Withdrawal) unless the written contingency contract specifically states that the lawyer has a right to do so and sets out the circumstances under which this may occur.

**Statement of Account**

2.06 (3) In a statement of an account delivered to a client, a lawyer must clearly and separately detail the amounts charged as fees and disbursements.

**Commentary**

The two main categories of charges on a statement of account are fees and disbursements. A lawyer may charge as disbursements only those amounts that have been paid or are required to be paid to a third party by the lawyer on a client's behalf. However, a subcategory entitled “Other Charges” may be included under the fees heading if a lawyer wishes to separately itemize charges such as paralegal, word processing or computer costs that are not disbursements, provided that the client has agreed, in writing, to such costs.

Party-and-party costs received by a lawyer are the property of the client and should therefore be accounted for to the client. While an agreement that the lawyer will be entitled to costs is not uncommon, it does not affect the lawyer’s obligation to disclose the costs to the client.

**Joint Retainer**

2.06 (4) If a lawyer acts for two or more clients in the same matter, the lawyer must divide the fees and disbursements equitably between them, unless there is an agreement by the clients otherwise.

**Division of Fees and Referral Fees**

2.06 (5) If there is consent from the client, fees for a matter may be divided between lawyers who are not in the same firm, provided that the fees are divided in proportion to the work done and the responsibilities assumed.

2.06 (6) If a lawyer refers a matter to another lawyer because of the expertise and ability of the other lawyer to handle the matter, and the referral was not made because of a conflict of interest, the referring lawyer may accept, and the other lawyer may pay, a referral fee, provided that:
(a) the fee is reasonable and does not increase the total amount of the fee charged to the client; and
(b) the client is informed and consents.

Division of Fees and Referral Fees

2.06 (7) In this rule, “another lawyer” includes a person who is:
   (a) a member of a recognized legal profession in any other jurisdiction; and
   (b) acting in compliance with the law and any rules of the legal profession of the other jurisdiction

2.06 (8) A lawyer must not:
   (a) directly or indirectly share, split or divide his or her fees with any person other than another lawyer; or
   (b) give any financial or other reward for the referral of clients or client matters to any person other than another lawyer.

Commentary

This rule prohibits lawyers from entering into arrangements to compensate or reward non-lawyers for the referral of clients. It does not prevent a lawyer from engaging in promotional activities involving reasonable expenditures on promotional items or activities that might result in the referral of clients generally by a non-lawyer. Accordingly, this rule does not prohibit a lawyer from:

   (a) making an arrangement respecting the purchase and sale of a law practice when the consideration payable includes a percentage of revenues generated from the practice sold;
   (b) entering into a lease under which a landlord directly or indirectly shares in the fees or revenues generated by the law practice;
   (c) paying an employee for services, other than for referring clients, based on the revenue of the lawyer’s firm or practice; or
   (d) occasionally entertaining potential referral sources by purchasing meals providing tickets to, or attending at, sporting or other activities or sponsoring client functions.
Exception for Multi-disciplinary Practices

2.06 (9) Despite subrule (7), a lawyer permitted to practise in a multi-disciplinary practice (MDP) under the Rules may share fees, profits or revenue from the practice of law in the MDP with a non-lawyer member of the MDP only if all the owners of the MDP are individuals or professional corporations actively involved in the MDP’s delivery of legal services to clients or in the management of the MDP.

Commentary

This rule also allows a lawyer to share fees or profits of an MDP with a non-lawyer for the purpose of paying out the ownership interest of the non-lawyer acquired by the non-lawyer’s active participation in the MDP’s delivery of services to clients or in the management of the MDP.

See also the definitions of “MDP” and “professional corporation” in Rule 1 and Rules 2-23.1 to 2-23.14 of the Law Society Rules.

Payment and Appropriation of Funds

2.06 (10) If a lawyer and client agree that the lawyer will act only if the lawyer’s retainer is paid in advance, the lawyer must confirm that agreement in writing with the client and specify a payment date.

2.06 (11) A lawyer must not appropriate any client funds held in trust or otherwise under the lawyer’s control for or on account of fees, except as permitted by the governing legislation.

Commentary

The rule is not intended to be an exhaustive statement of the considerations that apply to payment of a lawyer’s account from trust. The handling of trust money is generally governed by the rules of the Law Society.

Refusing to reimburse any portion of advance fees for work that has not been carried out when the contract of professional services with the client has terminated is a breach of the obligation to act with integrity.

2.06 (12) If the amount of fees or disbursements charged by a lawyer is reduced on a review or assessment, the lawyer must repay the monies to the client as soon as is practicable.
Prepaid Legal Services Plan

2.06 (13) A lawyer who accepts a client referred by a prepaid legal services plan must advise the client in writing of:

(a) the scope of work to be undertaken by the lawyer under the plan; and

(b) the extent to which a fee or disbursement will be payable by the client to the lawyer.

2.07 WITHDRAWAL FROM REPRESENTATION

Withdrawal from Representation

2.07 (1) A lawyer must not withdraw from representation of a client except for good cause and on reasonable notice to the client.

Commentary

Although the client has the right to terminate the lawyer-client relationship at will, a lawyer does not enjoy the same freedom of action. Having undertaken the representation of a client, the lawyer should complete the task as ably as possible unless there is justifiable cause for terminating the relationship. It is inappropriate for a lawyer to withdraw on capricious or arbitrary grounds.

An essential element of reasonable notice is notification to the client, unless the client cannot be located after reasonable efforts. No hard and fast rules can be laid down as to what constitutes reasonable notice before withdrawal and how quickly a lawyer may cease acting after notification will depend on all relevant circumstances. When the matter is covered by statutory provisions or rules of court, these will govern. In other situations, the governing principle is that the lawyer should protect the client’s interests to the best of the lawyer’s ability and should not desert the client at a critical stage of a matter or at a time when withdrawal would put the client in a position of disadvantage or peril. As a general rule, the client should be given sufficient time to retain and instruct replacement counsel. Nor should withdrawal or an intention to withdraw be permitted to waste court time or prevent other counsel from reallocating time or resources scheduled for the matter in question. See subrule (8) – Manner of Withdrawal.

Every effort should be made to ensure that withdrawal occurs at an appropriate time in the proceedings in keeping with the lawyer’s obligations. The court, opposing parties and others directly affected should also be notified of the withdrawal.

When a lawyer leaves a law firm to practise alone or to join another law firm, the departing lawyer and the law firm have a duty to inform all clients for whom the departing
lawyer is the responsible lawyer in a legal matter that the clients have a right to choose who will continue to represent them. The same duty may arise when a firm is winding up or dividing into smaller units.

This duty does not arise if the lawyers affected by the changes, acting reasonably, conclude that the circumstances make it obvious that a client will continue as a client of a particular lawyer or law firm.

When this Chapter requires a notification to clients, each client must receive a letter as soon as practicable after the effective date of the changes is determined, informing the client of the right to choose his or her lawyer.

It is preferable that this letter be sent jointly by the firm and any lawyers affected by the changes. However, in the absence of a joint announcement, the firm or any lawyers affected by the changes may send letters in substantially the form set out in a precedent letter on the Law Society website at [link].

Lawyers whose clients are affected by changes in a law firm have a continuing obligation to protect client information and property, and must minimize any adverse effect on the interests of clients. This obligation generally includes an obligation to ensure that files transferred to a new lawyer or law firm are properly transitioned, including, when necessary, describing the status of the file and noting any unfulfilled undertakings and other outstanding commitments.

The right of a client to be informed of changes to a law firm and to choose his or her lawyer cannot be curtailed by any contractual or other arrangement.

With respect to communication other than that required by these Rules, lawyers should be mindful of the common law restrictions upon uses of proprietary information, and interference with contractual and professional relations between the law firm and its clients.

**Optional Withdrawal**

2.07 (2) If there has been a serious loss of confidence between the lawyer and the client, the lawyer may withdraw.

**Commentary**

A lawyer may have a justifiable cause for withdrawal in circumstances indicating a loss of confidence, for example, if a lawyer is deceived by his client, the client refuses to accept and act upon the lawyer’s advice on a significant point, a client is persistently unreasonable or uncooperative in a material respect, or the lawyer is facing difficulty in obtaining adequate instructions from the client. However, the lawyer should not use the threat of withdrawal as a device to force a hasty decision by the client on a difficult
Non-payment of Fees

2.07 (3) If, after reasonable notice, the client fails to provide a retainer or funds on account of disbursements or fees, a lawyer may withdraw.

Commentary

When the lawyer withdraws because the client has not paid the lawyer’s fee, the lawyer should ensure that there is sufficient time for the client to obtain the services of another lawyer and for that other lawyer to prepare adequately for a hearing or trial.

In criminal matters, if withdrawal is a result of non-payment of the lawyer’s fees, the court may exercise its discretion to refuse counsel’s withdrawal. The court’s order refusing counsel’s withdrawal may be enforced by the court’s contempt power. See R. v. Cunningham, 2010 SCC 10.

The relationship between a lawyer and client is contractual in nature, and the general rules respecting breach of contract and repudiation apply. Except in criminal matters involving non-payment of fees, if a lawyer decides to withdraw as counsel in a proceeding, the court has no jurisdiction to prevent the lawyer from doing so, and the decision to withdraw is not reviewable by the court, subject to its authority to cite a lawyer for contempt if there is evidence that the withdrawal was done for some improper purpose. Otherwise, the decision to withdraw is a matter of professional responsibility, and a lawyer who withdraws in contravention of this Chapter is subject to disciplinary action by the Benchers. See Re Leask and Cronin (1985), 66 BCLR 187 (SC). In civil proceedings the lawyer is not required to obtain the court’s approval before withdrawing as counsel, but must comply with the Rules of Court before being relieved of the responsibilities that attach as “solicitor acting for the party.” See Luchka v. Zens (1989), 37 BCLR (2d) 127 (CA)."

Withdrawal from Criminal Proceedings

2.07 (4) If a lawyer has agreed to act in a criminal case and the interval between a withdrawal and the trial of the case is sufficient to enable the client to obtain another lawyer and to allow such other lawyer adequate time for preparation, the lawyer who has agreed to act may withdraw because the client has not paid the agreed fee or for other adequate cause provided that the lawyer:

(a) notifies the client, in writing, that the lawyer is withdrawing because the fees have not been paid or for other adequate cause;
(b) accounts to the client for any monies received on account of fees and disbursements;
(c) notifies Crown counsel in writing that the lawyer is no longer acting;
(d) in a case when the lawyer’s name appears on the records of the court as acting for the accused, notifies the clerk or registrar of the appropriate court in writing that the lawyer is no longer acting; and
(e) complies with the applicable rules of court.

2.07 (5) If a lawyer has agreed to act in a criminal case and the date set for trial is not such as to enable the client to obtain another lawyer or to enable another lawyer to prepare adequately for trial and an adjournment of the trial date cannot be obtained without adversely affecting the client’s interests, the lawyer who agreed to act must not withdraw because of non-payment of fees.

2.07 (6) If a lawyer is justified in withdrawing from a criminal case for reasons other than non-payment of fees and there is not a sufficient interval between a notice to the client of the lawyer’s intention to withdraw and the date on which the case is to be tried to enable the client to obtain another lawyer and to enable such lawyer to prepare adequately for trial, the first lawyer, unless instructed otherwise by the client, should attempt to have the trial date adjourned and may withdraw from the case only with the permission of the court before which the case is to be tried.

**Commentary**

If circumstances arise that, in the opinion of the lawyer, require an application to the court for leave to withdraw, the lawyer should promptly inform Crown counsel and the court of the intention to apply for leave in order to avoid or minimize any inconvenience to the court and witnesses.

**Obligatory Withdrawal**

2.07 (7) A lawyer must withdraw if:
(a) discharged by a client;
(b) a client persists in instructing the lawyer to act contrary to professional ethics; or
(c) the lawyer is not competent to continue to handle a matter.

**Manner of Withdrawal**

2.07 (8) When a lawyer withdraws, the lawyer must try to minimize expense and avoid prejudice to the client and must do all that can reasonably be done to facilitate the orderly transfer of the matter to the successor lawyer.
Manner of Withdrawal

2.07 (9) On discharge or withdrawal, a lawyer must:

(a) notify the client in writing, stating:

(i) the fact that the lawyer has withdrawn;

(ii) the reasons, if any, for the withdrawal; and

(iii) in the case of litigation, that the client should expect that the hearing or trial will proceed on the date scheduled and that the client should retain new counsel promptly;

(b) subject to the lawyer’s right to a lien, deliver to or to the order of the client all papers and property to which the client is entitled;

(c) subject to any applicable trust conditions, give the client all relevant information in connection with the case or matter;

(d) account for all funds of the client then held or previously dealt with, including the refunding of any remuneration not earned during the representation;

(e) promptly render an account for outstanding fees and disbursements;

(f) co-operate with the successor lawyer in the transfer of the file so as to minimize expense and avoid prejudice to the client; and

(g) comply with the applicable rules of court.

Commentary

If the lawyer who is discharged or withdraws is a member of a firm, the client should be notified that the lawyer and the firm are no longer acting for the client.

The obligation to deliver papers and property is subject to a lawyer’s right of lien. In the event of conflicting claims to such papers or property, the lawyer should make every effort to have the claimants settle the dispute.

Co-operation with the successor lawyer will normally include providing any memoranda of fact and law that have been prepared by the lawyer in connection with the matter, but confidential information not clearly related to the matter should not be divulged without the written consent of the client.

A lawyer acting for several clients in a case or matter who ceases to act for one or more of them should co-operate with the successor lawyer or lawyers to the extent required by the rules and should seek to avoid any unseemly rivalry, whether real or apparent.
Confidentiality

2.07 (10) Subject to exceptions permitted by law, if the reason for withdrawal results from confidential communications between the lawyer and the client, the lawyer must not disclose the reason for the withdrawal unless the client consents.

Commentary

One such exception is that in *R. v. Cunningham*, 2010 SCC 10, which establishes that, in a criminal case, if the disclosure of information related to the payment of the lawyer’s fees is unrelated to the merits of the case and does not prejudice the accused, the lawyer may properly disclose such information to the court. See para. 31:

Disclosure of non-payment of fees in cases where it is unrelated to the merits and will not cause prejudice to the accused is not an exception to privilege, such as the innocence at stake or public safety exceptions (see generally *R. v. McClure*, 2001 SCC 14 and *Smith v. Jones*, [1999] 1 S.C.R. 455). Rather, non-payment of legal fees in this context does not attract the protection of solicitor-client privilege in the first place. However, nothing in these reasons, which address the application, or non-application, of solicitor-client privilege in disclosures to a court, should be taken as affecting counsel’s ethical duty of confidentiality with respect to payment or non-payment of fees in other contexts.

Duty of Successor Lawyer

2.07 (11) Before agreeing to represent a client, a successor lawyer must be satisfied that the former lawyer has withdrawn or has been discharged by the client.

Commentary

It is quite proper for the successor lawyer to urge the client to settle or take reasonable steps towards settling or securing any outstanding account of the former lawyer, especially if the latter withdrew for good cause or was capriciously discharged. But, if a trial or hearing is in progress or imminent, or if the client would otherwise be prejudiced, the existence of an outstanding account should not be allowed to interfere with the successor lawyer acting for the client.
CHAPTER 3 – MARKETING OF LEGAL SERVICES

3.02 MARKETING

Application of Rule

3.02 (1) This Rule applies to any marketing activity undertaken or authorized by a lawyer in which he or she is identified as a lawyer, mediator or arbitrator.

Definitions

3.02 (2) In this Chapter:

“marketing activity” includes any publication or communication in the nature of an advertisement, promotional activity or material, letterhead, business card, listing in a directory, a public appearance or any other means by which professional legal services are promoted or clients are solicited;

“lawyer” includes a member of the Law Society, and a person enrolled in the Law Society Admission Program.

Content and Format of Marketing Activities

3.02 (3) Any marketing activity undertaken or authorized by a lawyer must not be:

(a) false,
(b) inaccurate,
(c) unverifiable,
(d) reasonably capable of misleading the recipient or intended recipient, or
(e) contrary to the best interests of the public.

Commentary

For example, a marketing activity violates Rule 4 if it:

(a) is calculated or likely to take advantage of the vulnerability, either physical or emotional, of the recipient,
(b) is likely to create in the mind of the recipient or intended recipient an unjustified expectation about the results that the lawyer can achieve, or
(c) otherwise brings the administration of justice into disrepute.
Former firm of current judge or master

3.02 (4) A lawyer must not state on any letterhead or business card or in any other marketing activity the name of a judge or master as being a predecessor or former member of the lawyer’s firm.

Notary Public

3.02 (5) A lawyer who, on any letterhead, business card or sign, or in any other marketing activity:

(a) uses the term “Notary,” “Notary Public” or any similar designation, or
(b) in any other way represents to the public that the lawyer is a notary public,

must also indicate in the same publication or marketing activity the lawyer’s status as a lawyer.

Designation

3.02 (6) A lawyer must not list a person not entitled to practise law in British Columbia on any letterhead or in any other marketing activity without making it clear in the marketing activity that the person is not entitled to practise law in British Columbia. In particular, a person who fits one or more of the following descriptions must not be listed without an appropriate indication of the person’s status:

(a) a retired member,
(b) a non-practising member,
(c) a deceased member,
(d) an articled student,
(e) a legal assistant or paralegal,
(f) a patent agent, if registered as such under the Patent Act,
(g) a trademark agent, if registered as such under the Trade-marks Act, or
(h) a practitioner of foreign law, if that person holds a valid permit issued under Law Society Rule 2-18., or
(i) a qualified member of another profession, trade or occupation, provided that the lawyer and the other person are members of a Multi-Disciplinary Practice (MDP) permitted under the Rules.
3.03 ADVERTISING NATURE OF PRACTICE

Preferred areas of practice

3.03 (1) A lawyer may state in any marketing activity a preference for practice in any one or more fields of law if the lawyer regularly practises in each field of law in respect of which the lawyer wishes to state a preference.

Specialization

3.03 (2) Unless otherwise authorized by the Legal Profession Act, the Rules, or this Code or by the Benchers, a lawyer must:

(a) not use the title “specialist” or any similar designation suggesting a recognized special status or accreditation in any other marketing activity, and

(b) take all reasonable steps to discourage use, in relation to the lawyer by another person, of the title “specialist” or any similar designation suggesting a recognized special status or accreditation in any marketing activity.

Real estate sales

3.03 (3) When engaged in marketing of real property for sale or lease, a lawyer must include in any marketing activity:

(a) the name of the lawyer or the lawyer’s firm, and

(b) if a telephone number is used, only the telephone number of the lawyer or the lawyer’s firm.

Multi-Disciplinary Practice

3.03 (4) Unless permitted to practise law in an MDP under the Law Society Rules, a lawyer must not, in any marketing activity

(a) use the term Multi-Disciplinary Practice or MDP, or

(b) state or imply that the lawyer’s practice or law firm is an MDP.

3.03 (5) A lawyer practising law in an MDP must ensure that all marketing activity for the firm indicates that the firm is an MDP.
CHAPTER 4 - RELATIONSHIP TO THE ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE

4.01 THE LAWYER AS ADVOCATE

Advocacy

4.01 (1) When acting as an advocate, a lawyer must represent the client resolutely and honourably within the limits of the law, while treating the tribunal with candour, fairness, courtesy, and respect.

Commentary

Role in Adversarial Proceedings – In adversarial proceedings, the lawyer has a duty to the client to raise fearlessly every issue, advance every argument and ask every question, however distasteful, that the lawyer thinks will help the client’s case and to endeavour to obtain for the client the benefit of every remedy and defence authorized by law. The lawyer must discharge this duty by fair and honourable means, without illegality and in a manner that is consistent with the lawyer’s duty to treat the tribunal with candour, fairness, courtesy and respect and in a way that promotes the parties’ right to a fair hearing in which justice can be done. Maintaining dignity, decorum and courtesy in the courtroom is not an empty formality because, unless order is maintained, rights cannot be protected.

This rule applies to the lawyer as advocate, and therefore extends not only to court proceedings but also to appearances and proceedings before boards, administrative tribunals, arbitrators, mediators and others who resolve disputes, regardless of their function or the informality of their procedures.

The lawyer’s function as advocate is openly and necessarily partisan. Accordingly, the lawyer is not obliged (except as required by law or under these rules and subject to the duties of a prosecutor set out below) to assist an adversary or advance matters harmful to the client’s case.

In adversarial proceedings that will likely affect the health, welfare or security of a child, a lawyer should advise the client to take into account the best interests of the child, if this can be done without prejudicing the legitimate interests of the client.

A lawyer should refrain from expressing the lawyer’s personal opinions on the merits of a client’s case to a court or tribunal.

When opposing interests are not represented, for example, in without notice or
uncontested matters or in other situations in which the full proof and argument inherent in the adversarial system cannot be achieved, the lawyer must take particular care to be accurate, candid and comprehensive in presenting the client’s case so as to ensure that the tribunal is not misled.

The lawyer should never waive or abandon the client’s legal rights, such as an available defence under a statute of limitations, without the client’s informed consent.

In civil proceedings, a lawyer should avoid and discourage the client from resorting to frivolous or vexatious objections, attempts to gain advantage from slips or oversights not going to the merits or tactics that will merely delay or harass the other side. Such practices can readily bring the administration of justice and the legal profession into disrepute.

**Duty as Defence Counsel** - When defending an accused person, a lawyer's duty is to protect the client as far as possible from being convicted, except by a tribunal of competent jurisdiction and upon legal evidence sufficient to support a conviction for the offence with which the client is charged. Accordingly, and notwithstanding the lawyer's private opinion on credibility or the merits, a lawyer may properly rely on any evidence or defences, including so-called technicalities, not known to be false or fraudulent.

Admissions made by the accused to a lawyer may impose strict limitations on the conduct of the defence, and the accused should be made aware of this. For example, if the accused clearly admits to the lawyer the factual and mental elements necessary to constitute the offence, the lawyer, if convinced that the admissions are true and voluntary, may properly take objection to the jurisdiction of the court, the form of the indictment or the admissibility or sufficiency of the evidence, but must not suggest that some other person committed the offence or call any evidence that, by reason of the admissions, the lawyer believes to be false. Nor may the lawyer set up an affirmative case inconsistent with such admissions, for example, by calling evidence in support of an alibi intended to show that the accused could not have done or, in fact, has not done the act. Such admissions will also impose a limit on the extent to which the lawyer may attack the evidence for the prosecution. The lawyer is entitled to test the evidence given by each individual witness for the prosecution and argue that the evidence taken as a whole is insufficient to amount to proof that the accused is guilty of the offence charged, but the lawyer should go no further than that.

**4.01 (2)** When acting as an advocate, a lawyer must not:

(a) abuse the process of the tribunal by instituting or prosecuting proceedings that, although legal in themselves, are clearly motivated by malice on the part of the client and are brought solely for the purpose of injuring the other party;
(b) knowingly assist or permit a client to do anything that the lawyer considers to be dishonest or dishonourable;

(c) appear before a judicial officer when the lawyer, the lawyer’s associates or the client have business or personal relationships with the officer that give rise to or might reasonably appear to give rise to pressure, influence or inducement affecting the impartiality of the officer, unless all parties consent and it is in the interests of justice;

(d) endeavour or allow anyone else to endeavour, directly or indirectly, to influence the decision or action of a tribunal or any of its officials in any case or matter by any means other than open persuasion as an advocate;

(e) knowingly attempt to deceive a tribunal or influence the course of justice by offering false evidence, misstating facts or law, presenting or relying upon a false or deceptive affidavit, suppressing what ought to be disclosed or otherwise assisting in any fraud, crime or illegal conduct;

(f) knowingly misstate the contents of a document, the testimony of a witness, the substance of an argument or the provisions of a statute or like authority;

(g) knowingly assert as fact that which cannot reasonably be supported by the evidence or taken on judicial notice by the tribunal;

(h) make suggestions to a witness recklessly or knowing them to be false;

(i) deliberately refrain from informing a tribunal of any binding authority that the lawyer considers to be directly on point and that has not been mentioned by another party;

(j) improperly dissuade a witness from giving evidence or advise a witness to be absent;

(k) knowingly permit a witness or party to be presented in a false or misleading way or to impersonate another;

(l) knowingly misrepresent the client’s position in the litigation or the issues to be determined in the litigation

(m) abuse, hector or harass a witness;
(n) when representing a complainant or potential complainant, attempt to gain a benefit for the complainant by threatening the laying of a criminal charge or by offering to seek or to procure the withdrawal of a criminal charge;

(o) needlessly inconvenience a witness; or

(p) appear before a tribunal while under the influence of alcohol or a drug.

**Commentary**

In civil proceedings, a lawyer has a duty not to mislead the tribunal about the position of the client in the adversarial process. Thus, a lawyer representing a party to litigation who has made or is party to an agreement made before or during the trial by which a plaintiff is guaranteed recovery by one or more parties, notwithstanding the judgment of the court, should immediately reveal the existence and particulars of the agreement to the court and to all parties to the proceedings.

A lawyer representing an accused or potential accused may communicate with a complainant or potential complainant, for example, to obtain factual information, to arrange for restitution or an apology from the accused, or to defend or settle any civil claims between the accused and the complainant. However, when the complainant or potential complaint is vulnerable, the lawyer must take care not to take unfair or improper advantage of the circumstances. If the complainant or potential complainant is unrepresented, the lawyer should be governed by the rules about unrepresented persons and make it clear that the lawyer is acting exclusively in the interests of the accused or potential accused. When communicating with an unrepresented complainant or potential complainant, it is prudent to have a witness present.

It is an abuse of the court's process to threaten to bring an action or to offer to seek withdrawal of a criminal charge in order to gain a benefit. See also Rules 2.02(5) and (6) and accompanying commentary.

When examining a witness, a lawyer may pursue any hypothesis that is honestly advanced on the strength of reasonable inference, experience or intuition.

**Duty as Prosecutor**

4.01 (3) When acting as a prosecutor, a lawyer must act for the public and the administration of justice resolutely and honourably within the limits of the law while treating the tribunal with candour, fairness, courtesy and respect.
Commentary

When engaged as a prosecutor, the lawyer’s primary duty is not to seek to convict but to see that justice is done through a fair trial on the merits. The prosecutor exercises a public function involving much discretion and power and must act fairly and dispassionately. The prosecutor should not do anything that might prevent the accused from being represented by counsel or communicating with counsel and, to the extent required by law and accepted practice, should make timely disclosure to defence counsel or directly to an unrepresented accused of all relevant and known facts and witnesses, whether tending to show guilt or innocence.

Disclosure of Error or Omission

4.01 (4) A lawyer who has unknowingly done or failed to do something that, if done or omitted knowingly, would have been in breach of this rule and who discovers it, must, subject to rule 2.03 (Confidentiality), disclose the error or omission and do all that can reasonably be done in the circumstances to rectify it.

Commentary

If a client desires that a course be taken that would involve a breach of this rule, the lawyer must refuse and do everything reasonably possible to prevent it. If that cannot be done, the lawyer should, subject to rule 2.07 (Withdrawal from Representation), withdraw or seek leave to do so.

Courtesy

4.01 (5) A lawyer must be courteous and civil and act in good faith to the tribunal and all persons with whom the lawyer has dealings.

Commentary

Legal contempt of court and the professional obligation outlined here are not identical, and a consistent pattern of rude, provocative or disruptive conduct by a lawyer, even though unpunished as contempt, may constitute professional misconduct.

Undertakings

4.01 (6) A lawyer must strictly and scrupulously fulfill any undertakings given and honour any trust conditions accepted in the course of litigation.
**Commentary**

A lawyer should also be guided by the provisions of Rule 6.02(11) Undertakings and Trust Conditions.

**Agreement on Guilty Plea**

4.01 (7) Before a charge is laid or at any time after a charge is laid, a lawyer for an accused or potential accused may discuss with the prosecutor the possible disposition of the case, unless the client instructs otherwise.

4.01 (8) A lawyer for an accused or potential accused may enter into an agreement with the prosecutor about a guilty plea if, following investigation,

(a) the lawyer advises his or her client about the prospects for an acquittal or finding of guilt;

(b) the lawyer advises the client of the implications and possible consequences of a guilty plea and particularly of the sentencing authority and discretion of the court, including the fact that the court is not bound by any agreement about a guilty plea;

(c) the client voluntarily is prepared to admit the necessary factual and mental elements of the offence charged; and

(d) the client voluntarily instructs the lawyer to enter into an agreement as to a guilty plea.

**Commentary**

The public interest in the proper administration of justice should not be sacrificed in the interest of expediency.

**4.02 THE LAWYER AS WITNESS**

**Submission of Evidence**

4.02 (1) A lawyer who appears as advocate must not testify or submit his or her own affidavit evidence before the tribunal unless

(a) permitted to do so by law, the tribunal, the rules of court or the rules of procedure of the tribunal;
(b) the matter is purely formal or uncontroverted; or
(c) it is necessary in the interests of justice for the lawyer to give evidence.

**Commentary**

A lawyer should not express personal opinions or beliefs or assert as a fact anything that is properly subject to legal proof, cross-examination or challenge. The lawyer should not, in effect, appear as an unsworn witness or put the lawyer’s own credibility in issue. The lawyer who is a necessary witness should testify and entrust the conduct of the case to another lawyer. There are no restrictions on the advocate’s right to cross-examine another lawyer, however, and the lawyer who does appear as a witness should not expect or receive special treatment because of professional status.

**Appeals**

4.02 (2) A lawyer who is a witness in proceedings must not appear as advocate in any appeal from the decision in those proceedings, unless the matter about which he or she testified is purely formal or uncontroverted.

4.03 **INTERVIEWING WITNESSES**

**Interviewing Witnesses**

4.03 Subject to the rules on communication with a represented party set out in subrules 6.02 (6)-(8), a lawyer may seek information from any potential witness, whether under subpoena or not, but the lawyer must disclose the lawyer’s interest and take care not to subvert or suppress any evidence or procure the witness to stay out of the way.

4.04 **COMMUNICATION WITH WITNESSES GIVING EVIDENCE**

**Communication with Witnesses Giving Evidence**

4.04 (1) A lawyer involved in a proceeding must not, during an examination and a cross-examination, obstruct the examination and the cross-examination in any manner.

4.04 (2) Subject to the direction of the tribunal, a lawyer must observe the following rules respecting communication with witnesses giving evidence:

(a) during examination-in-chief, the examining lawyer may discuss with the witness any matter;
(b) during cross-examination of the lawyer’s own witness, the lawyer must not discuss with the witness the evidence given in chief or relating to any matter introduced or touched on during the examination-in-chief;

(c) upon the conclusion of cross-examination and during any re-examination, with the leave of the court, the lawyer may discuss with the witness any matter;

(d) during examination for discovery, the lawyer may discuss the evidence given or to be given by the witness on the following basis:

   (i) where a discovery is to last no longer than a day, counsel for the witness should refrain from having any discussion with the witness during this time.

   (ii) where a discovery is scheduled for longer than one day, counsel is permitted to discuss with his or her witness all issues relating to the case, including evidence that is given or to be given, at the conclusion of the discovery each day. However, prior to any such discussion taking place, counsel should advise the other side of his or her intention to do so.

   (iii) counsel for the witness should not seek an adjournment during the examination to specifically discuss the evidence that was given by the witness. Such discussion should either wait until the end of the day adjournment or until just before re-examination at the conclusion of the cross-examination.

**Commentary**

The application of these rules may be determined by the practice and procedures of the tribunal and may be modified by agreement of counsel.

The term “cross-examination” means the examination of a witness or party adverse in interest to the client of the lawyer conducting the examination. It therefore includes an examination for discovery, examination on affidavit or examination in aid of execution. The rule prohibits obstruction or improper discussion by any lawyer involved in a proceeding and not just by the lawyer whose witness is under cross-examination.

The opportunity to conduct a fully ranging and uninterrupted cross-examination is fundamental to the adversarial system. It is counterbalanced by an opposing advocate’s ability to ensure clarity of testimony through initial briefing, direct examination and re-examination of that lawyer’s witnesses. There is therefore no justification for obstruction of cross-examination by unreasonable interruptions, repeated objection to proper questions, attempts to have the witness change or tailor evidence, or other similar
conduct while the examination is ongoing.

This rule is not intended to prohibit a lawyer with no prior involvement in the proceedings, who has been retained by a witness under cross-examination, from consulting with the lawyer’s new client.


4.05 RELATIONS WITH JURORS

Communications before Trial

4.05 (1) When acting as an advocate before the trial of a case, a lawyer must not communicate with or cause another to communicate with anyone that the lawyer knows to be a member of the jury panel for that trial.

Commentary

A lawyer may investigate a prospective juror to ascertain any basis for challenge, provided that the lawyer does not directly or indirectly communicate with the prospective juror or with any member of the prospective juror’s family. But a lawyer should not conduct or cause another, by financial support or otherwise, to conduct a vexatious or harassing investigation of either a member of the jury panel or a juror.

Disclosure of Information

4.05 (2) Unless the judge and opposing counsel have previously been made aware of the information, a lawyer acting as an advocate must disclose to them any information of which the lawyer is aware that a juror or prospective juror:

(a) has or may have an interest, direct or indirect, in the outcome of the case;
(b) is acquainted with or connected in any manner with the presiding judge, any counsel or any litigant;
(c) is acquainted with or connected in any manner with any person who has appeared or who is expected to appear as a witness; or
(d) may be legally disqualified from serving as a juror.
4.05 (3) A lawyer must promptly disclose to the court any information that the lawyer reasonably believes discloses improper conduct by a member of a jury panel or by a juror.

**Communication During Trial**

4.05 (4) Except as permitted by law, a lawyer acting as an advocate must not communicate with or cause another to communicate with any member of the jury during a trial of a case.

4.05 (5) A lawyer who is not connected with a case before the court must not communicate with or cause another to communicate with any member of the jury about the case.

4.05 (6) A lawyer must not have any discussion after trial with a member of the jury about its deliberations.

**Commentary**

The restrictions on communications with a juror or potential juror should also apply to communications with or investigations of members of his or her family.

---

**4.06 THE LAWYER AND THE ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE**

**Encouraging Respect for the Administration of Justice**

4.06 (1) A lawyer must encourage public respect for and try to improve the administration of justice.

**Commentary**

The obligation outlined in the rule is not restricted to the lawyer’s professional activities but is a general responsibility resulting from the lawyer’s position in the community. A lawyer’s responsibilities are greater than those of a private citizen. A lawyer should take care not to weaken or destroy public confidence in legal institutions or authorities by irresponsible allegations. The lawyer in public life should be particularly careful in this regard because the mere fact of being a lawyer will lend weight and credibility to public statements. Yet, for the same reason, a lawyer should not hesitate to speak out against an injustice.

Admission to and continuance in the practice of law implies, on the part of a lawyer, a basic commitment to the concept of equal justice for all within an open, ordered and impartial system. However, judicial institutions will not function effectively unless they...
command the respect of the public, and, because of changes in human affairs and imperfections in human institutions, constant efforts must be made to improve the administration of justice and thereby, to maintain public respect for it.

Criticizing Tribunals - Proceedings and decisions of courts and tribunals are properly subject to scrutiny and criticism by all members of the public, including lawyers, but judges and members of tribunals are often prohibited by law or custom from defending themselves. Their inability to do so imposes special responsibilities upon lawyers. First, a lawyer should avoid criticism that is petty, intemperate or unsupported by a bona fide belief in its real merit, since, in the eyes of the public, professional knowledge lends weight to the lawyer’s judgments or criticism. Second, if a lawyer has been involved in the proceedings, there is the risk that any criticism may be, or may appear to be, partisan rather than objective. Third, when a tribunal is the object of unjust criticism, a lawyer, as a participant in the administration of justice, is uniquely able to, and should, support the tribunal, both because its members cannot defend themselves and because, in doing so, the lawyer contributes to greater public understanding of, and therefore respect for, the legal system.

A lawyer, by training, opportunity and experience, is in a position to observe the workings and discover the strengths and weaknesses of laws, legal institutions and public authorities. A lawyer should, therefore, lead in seeking improvements in the legal system, but any criticisms and proposals should be bona fide and reasoned.

**Seeking Legislative or Administrative Changes**

**4.06 (2)** A lawyer who seeks legislative or administrative changes must disclose the interest being advanced, whether the lawyer’s interest, the client’s interest or the public interest.

**Commentary**

The lawyer may advocate legislative or administrative changes on behalf of a client although not personally agreeing with them, but the lawyer who purports to act in the public interest should espouse only those changes that the lawyer conscientiously believes to be in the public interest.

**Security of Court Facilities**

**4.06 (3)** A lawyer who has reasonable grounds for believing that a dangerous situation is likely to develop at a court facility must inform the persons having responsibility for security at the facility and give particulars.
Commentary

If possible, the lawyer should suggest solutions to the anticipated problem such as:

(a) further security, or
(b) reserving judgment.

If possible, the lawyer should also notify other lawyers who are known to be involved in proceedings at the court facility where the dangerous situation is likely to develop. Beyond providing a warning of danger, this notice is desirable because it may allow them to suggest security measures that do not interfere with an accused’s or a party’s right to a fair trial.

If client information is involved in those situations, the lawyer should be guided by the provisions of Rule 2.03 (Confidentiality).

4.07 LAWYERS AND MEDIATORS

Role of Mediator

4.07 A lawyer who acts as a mediator must, at the outset of the mediation, ensure that the parties to it understand fully that:

(a) the lawyer is not acting as a lawyer for either party but, as mediator, is acting to assist the parties to resolve the matters in issue; and

(b) although communications pertaining to and arising out of the mediation process may be covered by some other common law privilege, they will not be covered by solicitor-client privilege.

Commentary

In acting as a mediator, generally a lawyer should not give legal advice, as opposed to legal information, to the parties during the mediation process. This does not preclude the mediator from giving direction on the consequences if the mediation fails.

Generally, neither the lawyer-mediator nor a partner or associate of the lawyer-mediator should render legal representation or give legal advice to either party to the mediation, bearing in mind the provisions of Rule 2.04 (Conflicts) and its commentaries and the common law authorities.

If the parties have not already done so, a lawyer-mediator generally should suggest that
they seek the advice of separate counsel before and during the mediation process, and encourage them to do so.

If, in the mediation process, the lawyer-mediator prepares a draft contract for the consideration of the parties, the lawyer-mediator should expressly advise and encourage them to seek separate independent legal representation concerning the draft contract.
CHAPTER 5 - RELATIONSHIP TO STUDENTS, EMPLOYEES, AND OTHERS

5.01 SUPERVISION

Direct Supervision Required

5.01 (1) A lawyer has complete professional responsibility for all business entrusted to him or her and must directly supervise staff and assistants to whom the lawyer delegates particular tasks and functions.

Commentary

A lawyer may permit a non-lawyer to act only under the supervision of a lawyer. The extent of supervision will depend on the type of legal matter, including the degree of standardization and repetitiveness of the matter, and the experience of the non-lawyer generally and with regard to the matter in question. The burden rests on the lawyer to educate a non-lawyer concerning the duties that the lawyer assigns to the non-lawyer and then to supervise the manner in which such duties are carried out. A lawyer should review the non-lawyer’s work at sufficiently frequent intervals to enable the lawyer to ensure its proper and timely completion. A lawyer must limit the number of non-lawyers that he or she supervises to ensure that there is sufficient time available for adequate supervision of each non-lawyer.

If a non-lawyer has received specialized training or education and is competent to do independent work under the general supervision of a lawyer, a lawyer may delegate work to the non-lawyer.

A lawyer in private practice may permit a non-lawyer to perform tasks delegated and supervised by a lawyer, so long as the lawyer maintains a direct relationship with the client. A lawyer in a community legal clinic funded by a provincial legal aid plan may do so, so long as the lawyer maintains direct supervision of the client’s case in accordance with the supervision requirements of the legal aid plan and assumes full professional responsibility for the work.

Subject to the provisions of any statute, rule or court practice in that regard, the question of what the lawyer may delegate to a non-lawyer generally turns on the distinction between any special knowledge of the non-lawyer and the professional and legal judgment of the lawyer, which, in the public interest, must be exercised by the lawyer whenever it is required.
Definitions

5.01 (2) In this rule,

“designated paralegal” means an individual permitted under subrule (3.3) to give legal advice and represent clients before a court or tribunal;

“non-lawyer” means an individual who is neither a lawyer nor an articled student;

“paralegal” means a non-lawyer who is a trained professional working under the supervision of a lawyer.

Delegation

5.01 (3) A lawyer must not permit a non-lawyer to:

(a) accept new matters on behalf of the lawyer, except that a non-lawyer may receive instructions from established clients if the supervising lawyer approves before any work commences;

(b) give legal advice;

(c) give or accept undertakings or accept trust conditions, except at the direction of and under the supervision of a lawyer responsible for the legal matter, providing that, in any communications, the fact that the person giving or accepting the undertaking or accepting the trust condition is a non-lawyer is disclosed, the capacity of the person is indicated and the lawyer who is responsible for the legal matter is identified;

(d) act finally without reference to the lawyer in matters involving professional legal judgment;

(e) be held out as a lawyer;

(f) appear in court or actively participate in formal legal proceedings on behalf of a client except as set forth above or except in a supporting role to the lawyer appearing in such proceedings;

(g) be named in association with the lawyer in any pleading, written argument or other like document submitted to a court;

(h) be remunerated on a sliding scale related to the earnings of the lawyer or the lawyer’s law firm, unless the non-lawyer is an employee of the lawyer or the law firm;
(i) conduct negotiations with third parties, other than routine negotiations if the client consents and the results of the negotiation are approved by the supervising lawyer before action is taken;

(j) take instructions from clients, unless the supervising lawyer has directed the client to the non-lawyer for that purpose and the instructions are relayed to the lawyer as soon as reasonably possible;

(k) sign correspondence containing a legal opinion;

(l) sign correspondence, unless
   (i) it is of a routine administrative nature,
   (ii) the non-lawyer has been specifically directed to sign the correspondence by a supervising lawyer,
   (iii) the fact the person is a non-lawyer is disclosed, and
   (iv) the capacity in which the person signs the correspondence is indicated;

(m) forward to a client or third party any documents, other than routine, standard form documents, except with the lawyer’s knowledge and direction;

(n) perform any of the duties that only lawyers may perform or do things that lawyers themselves may not do; or

(o) issue statements of account.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Commentary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A lawyer is responsible for any undertaking given or accepted and any trust condition accepted by a non-lawyer acting under his or her supervision.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A lawyer should ensure that the non-lawyer is identified as such when communicating orally or in writing with clients, lawyers or public officials or with the public generally, whether within or outside the offices of the law firm of employment.

In real estate transactions using a system for the electronic submission or registration of documents, a lawyer who approves the electronic registration of documents by a non-lawyer is responsible for the content of any document that contains the electronic signature of the non-lawyer.

(3.1) The limitations imposed by subrule (3) do not apply when a non-lawyer is:

   (a) a community advocate funded and designated by the Law Foundation;
(b) a student engaged in a legal advice program or clinical law program run by, associated with or housed by a law school in British Columbia; and

(c) with the approval of the Executive Committee, a person employed by or volunteering with a non-profit organization providing free legal services.

(3.2) A lawyer may employ as a paralegal a person who

(a) possesses adequate knowledge of substantive and procedural law relevant to the work delegated by the supervising lawyer;

(b) possesses the practical and analytic skills necessary to carry out the work delegated by the supervising lawyer; and

(c) carries out his or her work in a competent and ethical manner.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Commentary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A lawyer must not delegate work to a paralegal, nor may a lawyer hold a person out as a paralegal, unless the lawyer is satisfied that the person has sufficient knowledge, skill, training and experience and is of sufficiently good character to perform the tasks delegated by the lawyer in a competent and ethical manner.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In arriving at this determination, lawyers should be guided by Appendix E.

Lawyers are professionally and legally responsible for all work delegated to paralegals. Lawyers must ensure that the paralegal is adequately trained and supervised to carry out each function the paralegal performs, with due regard to the complexity and importance of the matter.

(3.3) Despite subrule (3), where a designated paralegal has the necessary skill and experience, a lawyer may permit the designated paralegal

(a) to give legal advice; or

(b) to represent clients before a court or tribunal, as permitted by the court or tribunal.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Commentary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The Law Society Rules limit the number of designated paralegals performing the enhanced duties of giving legal advice and appearing in court or before a tribunal.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Suspended or Disbarred Lawyers

5.01 (4) Without the express approval of the lawyer’s governing body, a lawyer must not retain, occupy office space with, use the services of, partner or associate with or employ in any capacity having to do with the practice of law any person who, in any jurisdiction, has been disbarred and struck off the Rolls, suspended, undertaken not to practise or who has been involved in disciplinary action and been permitted to resign and has not been reinstated or readmitted.

Electronic Registration of Documents

5.01 (5) A lawyer who has personalized encrypted electronic access to any system for the electronic submission or registration of documents must not

(a) permit others, including a non-lawyer employee, to use such access; or

(b) disclose his or her password or access phrase or number to others.

5.01 (6) When a non-lawyer employed by a lawyer has a personalized encrypted electronic access to any system for the electronic submission or registration of documents, the lawyer must ensure that the non-lawyer does not

(a) permit others to use such access; or

(b) disclose his or her password or access phrase or number to others.

Commentary

The implementation of systems for the electronic registration of documents imposes special responsibilities on lawyers and others using the system. The integrity and security of the system is achieved, in part, by its maintaining a record of those using the system for any transactions. Statements professing compliance with law without registration of supporting documents may be made only by lawyers in good standing. It is, therefore, important that lawyers should maintain and ensure the security and the exclusively personal use of the personalized access code, diskettes, etc., used to access the system and the personalized access pass phrase or number.

In a real estate practice, when it is permissible for a lawyer to delegate responsibilities to a non-lawyer who has such access, the lawyer should ensure that the non-lawyer maintains and understands the importance of maintaining the security of the system.
5.02 STUDENTS

Recruitment and Engagement Procedures

5.02 (1) A lawyer must observe any procedures of the Society about the recruitment and engagement of articled or other students.

Duties of Principal

5.02 (2) A lawyer acting as a principal to a student must provide the student with meaningful training and exposure to and involvement in work that will provide the student with knowledge and experience of the practical aspects of the law, together with an appreciation of the traditions and ethics of the profession.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Commentary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A principal or supervising lawyer is responsible for the actions of students acting under his or her direction.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Duties of Articled Student

5.02 (3) An articled student must act in good faith in fulfilling and discharging all the commitments and obligations arising from the articling experience.

5.03 HARASSMENT AND DISCRIMINATION

5.03 (1) The principles of human rights laws and related case law apply to the interpretation of this rule.

5.03 (2) A term used in this rule that is defined in human rights legislation has the same meaning as in the legislation.

5.03 (3) A lawyer must not sexually harass any person.

5.03 (4) A lawyer must not engage in any other form of harassment of any person.

5.03 (5) A lawyer must not discriminate against any person.
### Commentary

A lawyer has a special responsibility to comply with the requirements of human rights laws in force in Canada, its provinces and territories and, specifically, to honour the obligations enumerated in human rights laws.
CHAPTER 6 - RELATIONSHIP TO THE SOCIETY AND OTHER LAWYERS

6.01 RESPONSIBILITY TO THE SOCIETY AND THE PROFESSION GENERALLY

Communications from the Society

6.01 (1) A lawyer must reply promptly and completely to any communication from the Society.

Meeting Financial Obligations

6.01 (2) A lawyer must promptly meet financial obligations in relation to his or her practice, including payment of the deductible under a professional liability insurance policy, when called upon to do so.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Commentary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>In order to maintain the honour of the Bar, lawyers have a professional duty (quite apart from any legal liability) to meet financial obligations incurred, assumed or undertaken on behalf of clients, unless, before incurring such an obligation, the lawyer clearly indicates in writing that the obligation is not to be a personal one.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

When a lawyer retains a consultant, expert or other professional, the lawyer should clarify the terms of the retainer in writing, including specifying the fees, the nature of the services to be provided and the person responsible for payment. If the lawyer is not responsible for the payment of the fees, the lawyer should help in making satisfactory arrangements for payment if it is reasonably possible to do so.

If there is a change of lawyer, the lawyer who originally retained a consultant, expert or other professional should advise him or her about the change and provide the name, address, telephone number, fax number and email address of the new lawyer. |
Duty to Report

6.01 (3) Unless to do so would involve a breach of solicitor-client confidentiality or privilege, a lawyer must report to the Society:

(a) a shortage of trust monies;
(b) a breach of undertaking or trust condition that has not been consented to or waived;
(c) the abandonment of a law practice;
(d) participation in criminal activity related to a lawyer’s practice;
(e) the mental instability of a lawyer of such a nature that the lawyer’s clients are likely to be materially prejudiced;
(f) conduct that raises a substantial question as to another lawyer’s honesty, trustworthiness, or competency as a lawyer; and
(g) any other situation in which a lawyer's clients are likely to be materially prejudiced.

Commentary

Unless a lawyer who departs from proper professional conduct is checked at an early stage, loss or damage to clients or others may ensue. Evidence of minor breaches may, on investigation, disclose a more serious situation or may indicate the commencement of a course of conduct that may lead to serious breaches in the future. It is, therefore, proper (unless it is privileged or otherwise unlawful) for a lawyer to report to the Society any instance involving a breach of these rules. If a lawyer is in any doubt whether a report should be made, the lawyer should consider seeking the advice of the Society directly or indirectly (e.g., through another lawyer).

Nothing in this paragraph is meant to interfere with the lawyer-client relationship. In all cases, the report must be made without malice or ulterior motive.

Often, instances of improper conduct arise from emotional, mental or family disturbances or substance abuse. Lawyers who suffer from such problems should be encouraged to seek assistance as early as possible. The Society supports professional support groups in their commitment to the provision of confidential counselling. Therefore, lawyers acting in the capacity of counsellors for professional support groups will not be called by the Society or by any investigation committee to testify at any conduct, capacity or competence hearing without the consent of the lawyer from whom the information was received. Notwithstanding the above, a lawyer counselling another lawyer has an ethical obligation to report to the Society upon learning that the lawyer being assisted is engaging in or may in the future engage in serious misconduct or in criminal activity related to the lawyer’s practice. The Society cannot countenance such conduct
Regardless of a lawyer’s attempts at rehabilitation.

6.02 RESPONSIBILITY TO LAWYERS AND OTHERS

## Courtesy and Good Faith

6.02 (1) A lawyer must be courteous and civil and act in good faith with all persons with whom the lawyer has dealings in the course of his or her practice.

### Commentary

The public interest demands that matters entrusted to a lawyer be dealt with effectively and expeditiously, and fair and courteous dealing on the part of each lawyer engaged in a matter will contribute materially to this end. The lawyer who behaves otherwise does a disservice to the client, and neglect of the rule will impair the ability of lawyers to perform their functions properly.

Any ill feeling that may exist or be engendered between clients, particularly during litigation, should never be allowed to influence lawyers in their conduct and demeanour toward each other or the parties. The presence of personal animosity between lawyers involved in a matter may cause their judgment to be clouded by emotional factors and hinder the proper resolution of the matter. Personal remarks or personally abusive tactics interfere with the orderly administration of justice and have no place in our legal system.

A lawyer should avoid ill-considered or uninformed criticism of the competence, conduct, advice or charges of other lawyers, but should be prepared, when requested, to advise and represent a client in a complaint involving another lawyer.

A lawyer should agree to reasonable requests concerning trial dates, adjournments, the waiver of procedural formalities and similar matters that do not prejudice the rights of the client.

6.02 (2) A lawyer must avoid sharp practice and must not take advantage of or act without fair warning upon slips, irregularities or mistakes on the part of other lawyers not going to the merits or involving the sacrifice of a client’s rights.

6.02 (3) A lawyer must not use any device to record a conversation between the lawyer and a client or another lawyer, even if lawful, without first informing the other person of the intention to do so.
Communications

6.02 (4) A lawyer must not, in the course of a professional practice, send correspondence or otherwise communicate to a client, another lawyer or any other person in a manner that is abusive, offensive, or otherwise inconsistent with the proper tone of a professional communication from a lawyer.

6.02 (5) A lawyer must answer with reasonable promptness all professional letters and communications from other lawyers that require an answer, and a lawyer must be punctual in fulfilling all commitments.

6.02 (6) Subject to subrule (7), if a person is represented by a lawyer in respect of a matter, another lawyer must not, except through or with the consent of the person’s lawyer:

(a) approach, communicate or deal with the person on the matter; or

(b) attempt to negotiate or compromise the matter directly with the person.

6.02 (7) A lawyer who is not otherwise interested in a matter may give a second opinion to a person who is represented by a lawyer with respect to that matter.

Commentary

Subrule (6) applies to communications with any person, whether or not a party to a formal adjudicative proceeding, contract or negotiation, who is represented by a lawyer concerning the matter to which the communication relates. A lawyer may communicate with a represented person concerning matters outside the representation. This subrule does not prevent parties to a matter from communicating directly with each other.

The prohibition on communications with a represented person applies only where the lawyer knows that the person is represented in the matter to be discussed. This means that the lawyer has actual knowledge of the fact of the representation, but actual knowledge may be inferred from the circumstances. This inference may arise when there is substantial reason to believe that the person with whom communication is sought is represented in the matter to be discussed. Thus, a lawyer cannot evade the requirement of obtaining the consent of the other lawyer by closing his or her eyes to the obvious.

Subrule (7) deals with circumstances in which a client may wish to obtain a second opinion from another lawyer. While a lawyer should not hesitate to provide a second opinion, the obligation to be competent and to render competent services requires that the opinion be based on sufficient information. In the case of a second opinion, such information may include facts that can be obtained only through consultation with the first lawyer involved. The lawyer should advise the client accordingly and, if necessary,
consult the first lawyer unless the client instructs otherwise.

6.02(8) A lawyer retained to act on a matter involving a corporate or other organization represented by a lawyer must not approach an officer or employee of the organization:

(a) who has the authority to bind the organization;

(b) who supervises, directs or regularly consults with the organization’s lawyer; or

(c) whose own interests are directly at stake in the representation,

in respect of that matter, unless the lawyer representing the organization consents or the contact is otherwise authorized or required by law.

Commentary

This subrule applies to corporations and other organizations. “Other organizations” include partnerships, limited partnerships, associations, unions, unincorporated groups, government departments and agencies, tribunals, regulatory bodies and sole proprietorships. This rule prohibits a lawyer representing another person or entity from communicating about the matter in question with persons likely involved in the decision-making process for a corporation or other organization. If an agent or employee of the organization is represented in the matter by a lawyer, the consent of that lawyer to the communication will be sufficient for purposes of this rule. A lawyer may communicate with employees or agents concerning matters outside the representation.

A lawyer representing a corporation or other organization may also be retained to represent employees of the corporation or organization. In such circumstances, the lawyer must comply with the requirements of Rule 2.04 (Conflicts), and particularly subrules 2.04(7) through (11). A lawyer must not represent that he or she acts for an employee of a client, unless the requirements of rule 2.04 have been complied with, and must not be retained by an employee solely for the purpose of sheltering factual information from another party.

6.02 (9) When a lawyer deals on a client’s behalf with an unrepresented person, the lawyer must:

(a) urge the unrepresented person to obtain independent legal representation;

(b) take care to see that the unrepresented person is not proceeding under the impression that his or her interests will be protected by the lawyer; and

(c) make it clear to the unrepresented person that the lawyer is acting exclusively in the interests of the client.
Inadvertent Communications

6.02 (10) A lawyer who has access to or comes into possession of a document that the lawyer has reasonable grounds to believe belongs to or is intended for an opposing party and was not intended for the lawyer to see, must:

(a) in the case of a paper document, return it unread and uncopied to the party to whom it belongs,

(b) in the case of an electronic document, delete it unread and uncopied and advise the party to whom it belongs that that was done, or

(c) if the lawyer reads part or all of the document before realizing that it was not intended for him or her, cease reading the document and promptly return it or delete it, uncopied, to the party to whom it belongs, advising that party:

(i) of the extent to which the lawyer is aware of the contents, and

(ii) what use the lawyer intends to make of the contents of the document.

For purposes of this rule, “electronic document” includes email or other electronic modes of transmission subject to being read or put into readable form, such as computer hard drives and memory cards.

Undertakings and Trust Conditions

6.02 (11) A lawyer must:

(a) not give an undertaking that cannot be fulfilled;

(b) fulfill every undertaking given; and

(c) honour every trust condition once accepted.

Undertakings should be written or confirmed in writing and should be absolutely unambiguous in their terms. If a lawyer giving an undertaking does not intend to accept
personal responsibility, this should be stated clearly in the undertaking itself. In the absence of such a statement, the person to whom the undertaking is given is entitled to expect that the lawyer giving it will honour it personally. The use of such words as “on behalf of my client” or “on behalf of the vendor” does not relieve the lawyer giving the undertaking of personal responsibility.

Trust conditions, which are equivalent to undertakings, should be clear, unambiguous and explicit and should state the time within which the conditions must be met. Trust conditions should be imposed in writing and communicated to the other party at the time the property is delivered. Trust conditions should be accepted in writing and, once accepted, constitute an obligation on the accepting lawyer that the lawyer must honour personally. The lawyer who delivers property without any trust condition cannot retroactively impose trust conditions on the use of that property by the other party.

The lawyer should not impose or accept trust conditions that are unreasonable, nor accept trust conditions that cannot be fulfilled personally. When a lawyer accepts property subject to trust conditions, the lawyer must fully comply with such conditions, even if the conditions subsequently appear unreasonable. It is improper for a lawyer to ignore or breach a trust condition he or she has accepted on the basis that the condition is not in accordance with the contractual obligations of the clients. It is also improper to unilaterally impose cross conditions respecting one’s compliance with the original trust conditions.

If a lawyer is unable or unwilling to honour a trust condition imposed by someone else, the subject of the trust condition should be immediately returned to the person imposing the trust condition, unless its terms can be forthwith amended in writing on a mutually agreeable basis.

Trust conditions can be varied with the consent of the person imposing them. Any variation should be confirmed in writing. Clients or others are not entitled to require a variation of trust conditions without the consent of the lawyer who has imposed the conditions and the lawyer who has accepted them.

Any trust condition that is accepted is binding upon a lawyer, whether imposed by another lawyer or by a lay person. A lawyer may seek to impose trust conditions upon a non-lawyer, whether an individual or a corporation or other organization, but great caution should be exercised in so doing since such conditions would be enforceable only through the courts as a matter of contract law and not by reason of the ethical obligations that exist between lawyers.
Trust cheques

6.02 (12) Except in the most unusual and unforeseen circumstances, which the lawyer must justify, a lawyer who withdraws or authorizes the withdrawal of funds from a trust account by cheque undertakes that the cheque

(a) will be paid, and

(b) is capable of being certified if presented for that purpose.

Commentary

Unless funds are to be paid under an agreement that specifically requires another form of payment or payment by another person, a lawyer must not refuse to accept another lawyer’s uncertified cheque for the funds. It is not improper for a lawyer, at his or her own expense, to have another lawyer’s cheque certified.

Real estate transactions

6.02 (13) If a lawyer acting for a purchaser of real property accepts the purchase money in trust and receives a registrable conveyance from the vendor in favour of the purchaser, then the lawyer is deemed to have undertaken to pay the purchase money to or as directed by the vendor on completion of registration.

6.03 OUTSIDE INTERESTS AND THE PRACTICE OF LAW

Maintaining Professional Integrity and Judgment

6.03 (1) A lawyer who engages in another profession, business or occupation concurrently with the practice of law must not allow such outside interest to jeopardize the lawyer’s professional integrity, independence or competence.

Commentary

A lawyer must not carry on, manage or be involved in any outside interest in such a way that makes it difficult to distinguish in which capacity the lawyer is acting in a particular transaction, or that would give rise to a conflict of interest or duty to a client.

When acting or dealing in respect of a transaction involving an outside interest, the lawyer should be mindful of potential conflicts and the applicable standards referred to in the conflicts rule and disclose any personal interest.

6.03 (2) A lawyer must not allow involvement in an outside interest to impair the exercise of the lawyer’s independent judgment on behalf of a client.
Commentary

The term “outside interest” covers the widest possible range of activities and includes activities that may overlap or be connected with the practice of law such as engaging in the mortgage business, acting as a director of a client corporation or writing on legal subjects, as well as activities not so connected, such as a career in business, politics, broadcasting or the performing arts. In each case, the question of whether and to what extent the lawyer may be permitted to engage in the outside interest will be subject to any applicable law or rule of the Society.

When the outside interest is not related to the legal services being performed for clients, ethical considerations will usually not arise unless the lawyer’s conduct might bring the lawyer or the profession into disrepute or impair the lawyer’s competence, such as if the outside interest might occupy so much time that clients’ interests would suffer because of inattention or lack of preparation.

6.04 THE LAWYER IN PUBLIC OFFICE

Standard of Conduct

6.04 (1) A lawyer who holds public office must, in the discharge of official duties, adhere to standards of conduct as high as those required of a lawyer engaged in the practice of law.

Commentary

The rule applies to a lawyer who is elected or appointed to a legislative or administrative office at any level of government, regardless of whether the lawyer attained the office because of professional qualifications. Because such a lawyer is in the public eye, the legal profession can more readily be brought into disrepute by a failure to observe its ethical standards.

Generally, the Society is not concerned with the way in which a lawyer holding public office carries out official responsibilities, but conduct in office that reflects adversely upon the lawyer’s integrity or professional competence may be the subject of disciplinary action.

Lawyers holding public office are also subject to the provisions of Rule 2.04 (Conflicts) when they apply.
6.05 PUBLIC APPEARANCES AND PUBLIC STATEMENTS

Communication with the Public

6.05 (1) Provided that there is no infringement of the lawyer’s obligations to the client, the profession, the courts, or the administration of justice, a lawyer may communicate information to the media and may make public appearances and statements.

Commentary

Lawyers in their public appearances and public statements should conduct themselves in the same manner as they do with their clients, their fellow practitioners, the courts, and tribunals. Dealings with the media are simply an extension of the lawyer’s conduct in a professional capacity. The mere fact that a lawyer’s appearance is outside of a courtroom, a tribunal or the lawyer’s office does not excuse conduct that would otherwise be considered improper.

A lawyer’s duty to the client demands that, before making a public statement concerning the client’s affairs, the lawyer must first be satisfied that any communication is in the best interests of the client and within the scope of the retainer.

Public communications about a client’s affairs should not be used for the purpose of publicizing the lawyer and should be free from any suggestion that a lawyer’s real purpose is self-promotion or self-aggrandizement.

Given the variety of cases that can arise in the legal system, particularly in civil, criminal and administrative proceedings, it is impossible to set down guidelines that would anticipate every possible circumstance. Circumstances arise in which the lawyer should have no contact with the media, but there are other cases in which the lawyer should contact the media to properly serve the client.

Lawyers are often involved in non-legal activities involving contact with the media to publicize such matters as fund-raising, expansion of hospitals or universities, programs of public institutions or political organizations. They sometimes act as spokespersons for organizations that, in turn, represent particular racial, religious or other special interest groups. This is a well-established and completely proper role for lawyers to play in view of the obvious contribution that it makes to the community.

Lawyers are often called upon to comment publicly on the effectiveness of existing statutory or legal remedies or the effect of particular legislation or decided cases, or to offer an opinion about cases that have been instituted or are about to be instituted. This, too, is an important role the lawyer can play to assist the public in understanding legal issues.
Lawyers should be aware that, when they make a public appearance or give a statement, they ordinarily have no control over any editing that may follow or the context in which the appearance or statement may be used or under what headline it may appear.

**Interference with Right to Fair Trial or Hearing**

6.05 (2) A lawyer must not communicate information to the media or make public statements about a matter before a tribunal if the lawyer knows or ought to know that the information or statement will have a substantial likelihood of materially prejudicing a party’s right to a fair trial or hearing.

**Commentary**

Fair trials and hearings are fundamental to a free and democratic society. It is important that the public, including the media, be informed about cases before courts and tribunals. The administration of justice benefits from public scrutiny. It is also important that a person’s, particularly an accused person’s, right to a fair trial or hearing not be impaired by inappropriate public statements made before the case has concluded.

---

### 6.06 PREVENTING UNAUTHORIZED PRACTICE

#### Preventing Unauthorized Practice

6.06 A lawyer must assist in preventing the unauthorized practice of law.

**Commentary**

Statutory provisions against the practice of law by unauthorized persons are for the protection of the public. Unauthorized persons may have technical or personal ability, but they are immune from control, from regulation and, in the case of misconduct, from discipline by the Society. Moreover, the client of a lawyer who is authorized to practise has the protection and benefit of the lawyer-client privilege, the lawyer’s duty of confidentiality, the professional standard of care that the law requires of lawyers, and the authority that the courts exercise over them. Other safeguards include mandatory professional liability insurance, the assessment of lawyers’ bills, regulation of the handling of trust monies and the maintenance of compensation funds.
6.07 RETIRED JUDGES RETURNING TO PRACTICE

6.07 A judge who returns to practice after retiring, resigning or being removed from the bench must not, for a period of three years, unless the governing body approves on the basis of exceptional circumstances, appear as a lawyer before the court of which the former judge was a member or before any courts of inferior jurisdiction to that court or before any administrative board or tribunal over which that court exercised an appellate or judicial review jurisdiction in any province in which the judge exercised judicial functions.

6.08 ERRORS AND OMISSIONS

Informing Client of Errors or Omission

6.08 (1) When, in connection with a matter for which a lawyer is responsible, a lawyer discovers an error or omission that is or may be damaging to the client and that cannot be rectified readily, the lawyer must:

(a) promptly inform the client of the error or omission without admitting legal liability;

(b) recommend that the client obtain independent legal advice concerning the matter, including any rights the client may have arising from the error or omission; and

(c) advise the client of the possibility that, in the circumstances, the lawyer may no longer be able to act for the client.

Commentary

Under the Lawyers’ Compulsory Professional Liability Insurance Policy, a lawyer is contractually required to give written notice to the insurer immediately after the lawyer becomes aware of any actual or alleged error or any circumstances that could reasonably be expected to be the basis of a claim or suit covered under the policy. Subrule (2) imposes an ethical duty to report to the insurer. Subrule (1) should not be construed as relieving a lawyer from the obligation to report to the insurer before attempting any rectification.

Notice of Claim

6.08 (2) A lawyer must give prompt notice of any circumstances that may reasonably be expected to give rise to a claim to an insurer or other indemnitor so that the client’s protection from that source will not be prejudiced.
Commentary

The introduction of compulsory insurance has imposed additional obligations upon a lawyer, but these obligations must not impair the relationship and duties of the lawyer to the client. A lawyer has an obligation to comply with the provisions of the policy of insurance. The insurer’s rights must be preserved, and the lawyer, in informing the client of an error or omission, should be careful not to prejudice any rights of indemnity that either of them may have under an insurance, client’s protection or indemnity plan, or otherwise. There may well be occasions when a lawyer believes that certain actions or a failure to take action have made the lawyer liable for damages to the client when, in reality, no liability exists. Further, in every case, a careful assessment will have to be made of the client’s damages arising from a lawyer’s negligence.

Co-operation

6.08 (3) A lawyer facing a claim or potential claim of professional negligence must not fail to assist and co-operate with the insurer or other indemnitor to the extent necessary to enable the claim or potential claim to be dealt with promptly.

Responding to Client’s Claim

6.08 (4) If a lawyer is not indemnified for a client’s errors and omissions claim or to the extent that the indemnity may not fully cover the claim, the lawyer must expeditiously deal with the claim and must not take unfair advantage that would defeat or impair the client’s claim.

6.08 (5) If liability is clear and the insurer or other indemnitor is prepared to pay its portion of the claim, a lawyer has a duty to pay the balance. [See also Rule 6.01(2)]
APPENDIX A — AFFIDAVITS, SOLEMN DECLARATIONS AND OFFICER CERTIFICATIONS

Affidavits and solemn declarations

1. A lawyer must not swear an affidavit or take a solemn declaration unless the deponent:

   (a) is physically present before the lawyer,

   (b) acknowledges that he or she is the deponent,

   (c) understands or appears to understand the statement contained in the document,

   (d) in the case of an affidavit, swears, declares or affirms that the contents of the document are true,

   (e) in the case of a solemn declaration, orally states that the deponent makes the solemn declaration conscientiously believing it to be true and knowing that it is of the same legal force and effect as if made under oath, and

   (f) signs the document, or if permitted by statute, swears that the signature on the document is that of the deponent.

Commentary

Non-practising and retired members

Non-practising and retired members are not permitted to act as notaries public or commissioners for the purpose of taking affidavits or solemn declarations. See Law Society Rules 2-3 and 2-4 for the definitions of non-practising and retired members.

Interjurisdictional Practice

A British Columbia lawyer, as a notary public, may administer oaths and take affidavits, declarations and affirmations only within British Columbia: See section 14 of the Legal Profession Act for a lawyer’s right to act as a notary public, and section 18 of the Notaries Act, RSBC 1996, c. 334 for rights and powers of a notary public, including the right to draw affidavits, affirmations or statutory declarations for other jurisdictions.

A British Columbia lawyer, as a commissioner for taking affidavits for British Columbia, has authority to administer oaths and take affidavits, declarations and affirmations
outside of BC for use in BC: See sections 59, 63 and related sections of the Evidence Act, RSBC 1996, c.124.

Notwithstanding Law Society mobility provisions across Canada, a British Columbia lawyer cannot swear an affidavit in another province or territory for use in that jurisdiction unless the lawyer is a member of the bar in that jurisdiction or the jurisdiction’s own legislation allows it. For example, because of Alberta legislation, a member of the Law Society of British Columbia, while in Alberta acting under the mobility provisions on an Alberta matter, cannot swear an affidavit for use in Alberta.

British Columbia lawyers should contact the law society of the other province or territory if they need to check whether they are entitled to swear an affidavit in that jurisdiction.

Likewise, lawyers from other jurisdictions visiting British Columbia may not swear affidavits in BC for use in BC: See section 60 of the Evidence Act and the definition of “practising lawyer” in section 1(1) of the Legal Profession Act.

**Deponent present before commissioner**

See R. v. Schultz, [1922] 2 WWR 582 (Sask. CA) in which the accused filled in and signed a declaration and left it on the desk of a commissioner for taking oaths, later meeting the commissioner outside and asking him to complete it. The court held that it was not a solemn declaration within the meaning of the Canada Evidence Act, stating that: “The mere fact that it was signed by the accused does not make it a solemn declaration. The written statement by the commissioner that it was ‘declared before him’ is not true. The essential requirement of the Act is not the signature of the declarant but his solemn declaration made before the commissioner.” (p. 584) Likewise, it has been held in the U.S. that the taking of an affidavit over the telephone is grounds for a charge of negligence and professional misconduct: Bar Association of New York City v. Napoli (1915), 155 N.Y. Sup. 416 (N.Y. Sup. Ct. App. Div.). In B.C., the conduct of a lawyer who affixed his name to the jurat of the signed affidavit without ever having seen the deponent constituted professional misconduct: Law Society Discipline Case Digest 83/14.

**Identification**

The commissioner should be satisfied that the deponent is who the deponent represents himself or herself to be. Where the commissioner does not know the deponent personally, identification should be inspected and/or appropriate introductions should be obtained.

**Appearing to understand**

To be satisfied of this, the commissioner may read the document aloud to the deponent, have the deponent read it aloud or accept the deponent’s statement that its contents are

It is also important that the deponent understands the significance of the oath or declaration he or she is proposing to take. See *King v. Phillips* (1908), 14 CCC 239 (B.C. Co. Ct.); *R. v. Nichols*, [1975] 5 WWR 600 (Alta SC); and *Owen v. Yorke*, (6 December, 1984), Vancouver A843177 (BCSC).

If it appears that a deponent is unable to read the document, the commissioner must certify in the jurat that the document was read in his or her presence and the commissioner was satisfied that the deponent understood it: B.C., *Rules of Court*, Rule 51(5). If it appears that the deponent does not understand English, the lawyer must arrange for a competent interpreter to interpret the document to the deponent and certify by endorsement in Form 60 that he or she has done so: *Rules of Court*, Rule 51(6).

**Affirmation**

The British Columbia Law Reform Commission has raised the question of whether an affidavit may properly be created by solemn affirmation under provincial law. For this reason, in cases where a deponent does not want to swear an affidavit, it may be prudent to create the affidavit by solemn declaration rather than by solemn affirmation. See Appendix B to Law Reform Commission of British Columbia, *Report on Affidavits: Alternatives to Oaths* LRC 115 (1990).

**Swear or Affirm that the Contents are True**

This can be accomplished by the commissioner asking the deponent: “Do you swear that the contents of this affidavit are true, so help you God?” or, if the affidavit is being affirmed, “Do you solemnly affirm [or words with the same effect] that the evidence given by you is the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth?,” to which the deponent must answer in the affirmative. In taking an affirmation the lawyer should comply with section 20 of the *Evidence Act*, RSBC 1996, c. 124 and the *Affirmation Regulation*, B.C. Reg. 396/89.

Section 29 of the *Interpretation Act*, RSBC 1996, c. 238, defines an affidavit or oath as follows:

“affidavit” or “oath” includes an affirmation, a statutory declaration, or a solemn declaration made under the *Evidence Act*, or under the *Canada Evidence Act*; and the word “swear” includes solemnly declare or affirm;

If an affidavit is altered after it has been sworn, it cannot be used unless it is resworn. Reswearing can be done by the commissioner initialling the alterations, taking the oath again from the deponent and then signing the altered affidavit. A second jurat should be added, commencing with the word “resworn.”
Generally, an affidavit is sworn and filed in a proceeding that is already commenced. An affidavit may also be sworn before the proceeding is commenced: *Rules of Court*, Rule 51(12). However, an affidavit may not be postdated: *Re: Stanley Foo*, hearing report pending, May, 1997.

Swearing to an affidavit exhibits that are not in existence can amount to professional misconduct: *Re: Stanley Foo*.

**Solemn Declaration**

A solemn declaration should be made in the words of the statute: *King v. Phillips*, *supra*; *R. v. Whynot*, *supra*.

The proper form for a solemn declaration is set out in section 41 of the *Canada Evidence Act*, RSC 1985, c. C-5:

**Solemn declaration**

41. Any judge, notary public, justice of the peace, provincial court judge, recorder, mayor or commissioner authorized to take affidavits to be used either in the provincial or federal courts, or any other functionary authorized by law to administer an oath in any matter, may receive the solemn declaration of any person voluntarily making the declaration before him, in the following form, in attestation of the execution of any writing, deed or instrument, or of the truth of any fact, or of any account rendered in writing:

I, ................, solemnly declare that (state the fact or facts declared to), and I make this solemn declaration conscientiously believing it to be true, and knowing that it is of the same force and effect as if made under oath.

Declared before me ............... at ...................... this ........ day of .............., 20 .......

and in section 69 of the *Evidence Act*, RSBC 1996, c. 124:

**Statutory declarations**

69. A gold commissioner, mayor or commissioner authorized to take affidavits, or any other person authorized by law to administer an oath in any matter, may receive the solemn declaration of any person voluntarily making it before him or her in attestation of the execution of any writing, deed or instrument, or of the truth of any fact, or of any account rendered in writing, in the following words:

I, A.B., solemnly declare that [state the facts declared to], and I make this
solemn declaration conscientiously believing it to be true and knowing that it is of the same legal force and effect as if made under oath.

Execution

A deponent unable to sign an affidavit may place his or her mark on it: Rules of Court, Rule 51(3)(b)(ii). An affidavit by a person who could not make any mark at all was accepted by the court in R. v. Holloway (1901), 65 JP 712 (Magistrates Ct.).

Witnessing the execution of an instrument

2. When a lawyer witnesses the execution of an instrument by an individual under the Land Title Act, RSBC 1996, c. 250, the lawyer’s signature is a certification by the lawyer that:

(a) the individual appeared before and acknowledged to the lawyer that he or she is the person named in the instrument as transferor, and

(b) the signature witnessed by the lawyer is the signature of the individual who made the acknowledgment. (See section 43 of the Land Title Act.)

Commentary

Non-practising and retired members are not permitted to act as officers for the purpose of witnessing the execution of instruments under the Land Title Act.
APPENDIX B — FAMILY LAW MEDIATION

Definitions

1. In this Appendix:

   (a) “family law mediation” means a process by which two adult persons (“participants”) attempt, with the assistance of an impartial person (the family law mediator), to reach a consensual settlement of issues relating to their marriage, cohabitation, separation or divorce;

   (b) without limiting the generality of the foregoing, “family law mediation” includes one or more of the following acts when performed by a lawyer acting as a family mediator:

      (i) informing the participants of the legal issues involved,

      (ii) advising the participants of a court’s probable disposition of the issue,

      (iii) preparing any agreement between the participants other than a memorandum recording the results of the mediation,

      (iv) giving any other legal advice.

Disqualifications

2. (a) If a lawyer, or a partner, associate or employee of that lawyer has previously acted or is presently acting for one or both of the participants to the mediation in a solicitor-client relationship with respect to any matter which may reasonably be expected to become an issue during the family law mediation, that lawyer may not act as a family law mediator for the participants.

   (b) If a lawyer has acted as a family law mediator for the participants, neither that lawyer, nor any partner, associate or employee of that lawyer may act in a solicitor-client relationship for either participant against the other participant.
(c) If a lawyer, or a partner, associate or employee of that lawyer has acted as a family law mediator for the participants, neither that lawyer, nor a partner, associate or employee of that lawyer may act for or against any person where to do so might require the lawyer to disclose or make use of confidential information given in the course of mediation.

Mediator's duties

3. A lawyer who acts as a family law mediator must ensure that if agreement is reached between the participants and as a result the lawyer drafts a document representing the agreement reached, the lawyer actively encourages each participant to obtain independent legal advice before executing the agreement.

Written agreement

4. A lawyer who acts as a family law mediator and the participants with respect of whom the lawyer mediates shall, before mediation commences, enter into a written agreement which shall include at least the following provisions:

(a) an agreement that the lawyer, throughout the mediation process, is not acting as legal counsel for either participant,

(b) an agreement that the lawyer may disclose fully to each participant all information provided by the other participant which is relevant to the issues being mediated,

(c) an agreement that the mediation process is part of an attempt to settle the differences between the participants and that all communications between the participants and between each participant and the mediator will be "without prejudice" so that:

(i) neither participant will attempt to introduce evidence of the communications in any legal proceedings,

(ii) neither participant will attempt to call the mediator as a witness in any legal proceedings,

(d) an acknowledgment that the lawyer must report to the Superintendent of Family and Child Services any instance arising from the mediation in which the lawyer has reasonable grounds to believe that a child is in need of protection,

(e) an agreement as to the lawyer's rate of remuneration and terms of payment,
(f) an agreement as to the circumstances in which mediation will terminate.
APPENDIX C — REAL PROPERTY TRANSACTIONS

Application
1. This Appendix does not apply to a real property transaction between corporations, societies, partnerships, trusts, or any of them, that are effectively controlled by the same person or persons or between any of them and such person or persons.

Acting for parties with different interests
2. A lawyer must not act for more than one party with different interests in a real property transaction unless:

(a) because of the remoteness of the location of the lawyer’s practice, it is impracticable for the parties to be separately represented,

(b) the transaction is a simple conveyance, or

(c) paragraph 8 applies.

3. When a lawyer acts jointly for more than one client in a real property transaction, the lawyer must comply with the obligations set out in rule 2.04 (7) to (12).

Simple conveyance
4. In determining whether or not a transaction is a simple conveyance, a lawyer should consider:

(a) the value of the property or the amount of money involved,

(b) the existence of non-financial charges, and

(c) the existence of liens, holdbacks for uncompleted construction and vendor’s obligations to complete construction.

Commentary
The following are examples of transactions that may be treated as simple conveyances when this commentary does not apply to exclude them:
(a) the payment of all cash for clear title,

(b) the discharge of one or more encumbrances and payment of the balance, if any, in cash,

(c) the assumption of one or more existing mortgages or agreements for sale and the payment of the balance, if any, in cash,

(d) a mortgage that does not contain any commercial element, given by a mortgagor to an institutional lender to be registered against the mortgagor’s residence, including a mortgage that is
   (i) a revolving mortgage that can be advanced and re-advanced,
   (ii) to be advanced in stages, or
   (iii) given to secure a line of credit.

(e) transfer of a leasehold interest if there are no changes to the terms of the lease,

(f) the sale by a developer of a completed residential building lot at any time after the statutory time period for filing claims of builders’ liens has expired, or

(g) any combination of the foregoing.

The following are examples of transactions that must not be treated as simple conveyances:

(h) a transaction in which there is any commercial element, such as
   (i) a conveyance included in a sale and purchase of a business,
   (ii) a transaction involving a building containing more than three residential units, or
   (iii) a transaction for a commercial purpose involving either a revolving mortgage that can be advanced and re-advanced or a mortgage given to secure a line of credit,

(i) a lease or transfer of a lease, other than as set out in subparagraph (e),

(j) a transaction in which there is a mortgage back from the purchaser to the vendor,

(k) an agreement for sale,

(l) a transaction in which the lawyer’s client is a vendor who:
   (i) advertises or holds out directly or by inference through representations of sales staff or otherwise as an inducement to purchasers that a registered transfer or other legal services are included
in the purchase price of the property,

(ii) is or was the developer of property being sold, unless subparagraph (f) applies, or

(m) a conveyance of residential property with substantial improvements under construction at the time the agreement for purchase and sale was signed, unless the lawyer’s clients are a purchaser and a mortgagee and construction is completed before funds are advanced under the mortgage.

A transaction is not considered to have a commercial element merely because one of the parties is a corporation.

Advice and consent

5. If a lawyer acts for more than one party in the circumstances as set out in paragraph 2 of this Appendix, then the lawyer must, as soon as is practicable,

(a) advise each party in writing that no information received in connection with the matter from one can be treated as confidential so far as any of the others are concerned and that, if a conflict of interest arises, the lawyer cannot continue to act for any of them in the transaction,

(b) obtain the consent in writing of all such parties, and

(c) raise and explain the legal effect of issues relevant to the transaction that may be of importance to each such party.

Commentary

If a written communication is not practicable at the beginning of the transaction, the advice may be given and the consent obtained orally, but the lawyer must confirm that advice to the parties in writing as soon as possible, and the lawyer must obtain consent in writing prior to completion.

The consent in writing may be set out in the documentation of the transaction or may be a blanket consent covering an indefinite number of transactions.

Foreclosure proceedings

6. In this paragraph, “mortgagor” includes “purchaser,” and “mortgagee” includes “vendor” under an agreement for sale, and “foreclosure proceeding” includes a proceeding for cancellation of an agreement for sale.
If a lawyer acts for both a mortgagor and a mortgagee in the circumstances set out in paragraph 2, the lawyer must not act in any foreclosure proceeding relating to that transaction for either the mortgagor or the mortgagee.

This prohibition does not apply if

(a) the lawyer acted for a mortgagee and attended on the mortgagor only for the purposes of executing the mortgage documentation,

(b) the mortgagor for whom the lawyer acted is not made a party to the foreclosure proceeding, or

(c) the mortgagor has no beneficial interest in the mortgaged property and no claim is being made against the mortgagor personally.

Unrepresented parties in a real property transaction

7. If one party to a real property transaction does not want or refuses to obtain independent legal representation, the lawyer acting for the other party may allow the unrepresented party to execute the necessary documents in the lawyer’s presence as a witness if the lawyer advises that party in writing that:

(a) the party is entitled to obtain independent legal representation but has chosen not to do so,

(b) the lawyer does not act for or represent the party with respect to the transaction, and

(c) the lawyer has not advised that party with respect to the transaction but has only attended to the execution and attestation of documents.

8. If the lawyer witnesses the execution of the necessary documents as set out in paragraph 7, it is not necessary for the lawyer to obtain the consent of the party or parties for whom the lawyer acts.

9. If one party to the real property transaction is otherwise unrepresented but wants the lawyer representing another party to the transaction to act for him or her to remove existing encumbrances, the lawyer may act for that party for those purposes only and may allow that party to execute the necessary documents in the lawyer’s presence as witness if the lawyer advises the party in writing that:

(a) the lawyer’s engagement is of a limited nature, and

(b) if a conflict arises between the parties, the lawyer will be unable to continue to act for that party.
APPENDIX D — CONFLICTS ARISING AS A RESULT OF TRANSFER BETWEEN LAW FIRMS

Matters to consider when interviewing a potential transferee

1. When a law firm considers hiring a lawyer or articulated student (“transferring lawyer”) from another law firm, the transferring lawyer and the new law firm need to determine, before transfer, whether any conflicts of interest will be created. Conflicts can arise with respect to clients of the firm that the transferring lawyer is leaving, and with respect to clients of a firm in which the transferring lawyer worked at some earlier time.

During the interview process, the transferring lawyer and the new law firm need to identify, first, all cases in which:

(a) the new law firm represents a client in a matter that is the same as or related to a matter in which the former law firm represents its client,

(b) the interests of these clients in that matter conflict, and

(c) the transferring lawyer actually possesses relevant information respecting that matter.

When these three elements exist, the transferring lawyer is personally disqualified from representing the new client unless the former client consents.

Second, they must determine whether, in each such case, the transferring lawyer actually possesses relevant information respecting the former client that is confidential and that may prejudice the former client if disclosed to a member of the new law firm.

If this element exists, then the transferring lawyer is disqualified unless the former client consents, and the new law firm is disqualified unless the firm takes measures set out in this Code to preserve the confidentiality of information.

In Rules 2.04 (17) to (25), “confidential” information refers to information not generally known to the public that is obtained from a client. It should be distinguished from the general ethical duty to hold in strict confidence all information concerning the business and affairs of the client acquired in the course of the professional relationship, which duty applies without regard to the nature or source of the information or to the fact that others may share the knowledge.
In determining whether the transferring lawyer possesses confidential information, both the transferring lawyer and the new law firm need to be very careful to ensure that they do not disclose client confidences during the interview process itself.

**Matters to consider before hiring a potential transferee**

2. After completing the interview process and before hiring the transferring lawyer, the new law firm should determine whether a conflict exists.

(a) **If a conflict does exist**

If the new law firm concludes that the transferring lawyer does possess relevant information respecting a former client that is confidential and that may prejudice the former client if disclosed to a member of the new law firm, then the new law firm will be prohibited from continuing to represent its client in the matter if the transferring lawyer is hired, unless:

(i) the new law firm obtains the former client’s consent to its continued representation of its client in that matter, or

(ii) the new law firm complies with Rule 2.04 (20).

If the new law firm seeks the former client’s consent to the new law firm continuing to act, it will, in all likelihood, be required to satisfy the former client that it has taken reasonable measures to ensure that there will be no disclosure of the former client’s confidential information to any member of the new law firm. The former client’s consent must be obtained before the transferring lawyer is hired.

Alternatively, if the new law firm applies under Rule 2.04 (24) for an opinion of the Society or a determination by a court that it may continue to act, it bears the onus of establishing the matters referred to in Rule 2.04 (20). Again, this process must be completed before the transferring lawyer is hired.

An application under Rule 2.04 (24) may be made to the Society or to a court of competent jurisdiction. The Society has a procedure for considering disputes under Rule 2.04 (24) that is intended to provide informal guidance to applicants.

The circumstances referred to in Rule 2.04(20)(b) are drafted in broad terms to ensure that all relevant facts will be taken into account.

(b) **If no conflict exists**

If the new law firm concludes that the transferring lawyer possesses relevant
information respecting a former client, but that information is not confidential information that may prejudice the former client if disclosed to a member of the new law firm, the new law firm must notify its client “of the relevant circumstances and its intended action under Rule 2.04(17) to (25).

Although Rule 2.04(21) does not require that the notice be in writing, it would be prudent for the new law firm to confirm these matters in writing. Written notification eliminates any later dispute as to the fact of notification, its timeliness and content.

The new law firm might, for example, seek the former client's consent to the transferring lawyer acting for the new law firm's client in the matter because, absent such consent, the transferring lawyer must not act.

If the former client does not consent to the transferring lawyer acting, it would be prudent for the new law firm to take reasonable measures to ensure that there will be no disclosure of the former client's confidential information to any member of the new law firm. If such measures are taken, it will strengthen the new law firm’s position if it is later determined that the transferring lawyer did in fact possess confidential information that, if disclosed, may prejudice the former client.

A former client who alleges that the transferring lawyer has such confidential information may apply under Rule 2.04(24) for an opinion of the Society or a determination by a court on that issue.

(c) **If the new law firm is not sure whether a conflict exists**

There may be some cases in which the new law firm is not sure whether the transferring lawyer possesses confidential information respecting a former client that may prejudice the former client if disclosed to a member of the new law firm.

In such circumstances, it would be prudent for the new law firm to seek guidance from the Society before hiring the transferring lawyer.

**Reasonable measures to ensure non-disclosure of confidential information**

3. As noted above, there are two circumstances in which the new law firm should consider the implementation of reasonable measures to ensure that there will be no disclosure of the former client’s confidential information to any member of the new law firm:

(a) if the transferring lawyer actually possesses confidential information respecting a former client that may prejudice the former client if disclosed to a member of the new law firm, and
(b) if the new law firm is not sure whether the transferring lawyer possesses such confidential information, but it wants to strengthen its position if it is later determined that the transferring lawyer did in fact possess such confidential information.

It is not possible to offer a set of “reasonable measures” that will be appropriate or adequate in every case. Rather, the new law firm that seeks to implement reasonable measures must exercise professional judgement in determining what steps must be taken “to ensure that there will be no disclosure to any member of the new law firm.”

In the case of law firms with multiple offices, the degree of autonomy possessed by each office will be an important factor in determining what constitutes “reasonable measures.” For example, the various legal services units of a government, a corporation with separate regional legal departments, an inter-provincial law firm or a legal aid program may be able to argue that, because of its institutional structure, reporting relationships, function, nature of work and geography, relatively fewer “measures” are necessary to ensure the non-disclosure of client confidences.

Adoption of all guidelines may not be realistic or required in all circumstances, but lawyers should document the reasons for declining to conform to a particular guideline. Some circumstances may require extra measures not contemplated by the guidelines.

When a transferring lawyer joining a government legal services unit or the legal department of a corporation actually possesses confidential information respecting a former client that may prejudice the former client if disclosed to a member of the new “law firm,” the interests of the new client (i.e., Her Majesty or the corporation) must continue to be represented. Normally, this will be effected either by instituting satisfactory screening measures or, when necessary, by referring conduct of the matter to outside counsel. As each factual situation will be unique, flexibility will be required in the application of Rule 2.04(20)(b).

GUIDELINES:

1. The screened lawyer should have no involvement in the new law firm’s representation of its client.

2. The screened lawyer should not discuss the current matter or any information relating to the representation of the former client (the two may be identical) with anyone else in the new law firm.

3. No member of the new law firm should discuss the current matter or the prior representation with the screened lawyer.
4. The measures taken by the new law firm to screen the transferring lawyer should be stated in a written policy explained to all lawyers and support staff within the firm, supported by an admonition that violation of the policy will result in sanctions, up to and including dismissal.

5. The former client, or if the former client is represented in that matter by a lawyer, that lawyer, should be advised:

(a) that the screened lawyer is now with the new law firm, which represents the current client, and

(b) of the measures adopted by the new law firm to ensure that there will be no disclosure of confidential information.

6. Unless to do otherwise is unfair, insignificant or impracticable, the screened lawyer should not participate in the fees generated by the current client matter.

7. The screened lawyer’s office or work station should be located away from the offices or work stations of those working on the matter.

8. The screened lawyer should use associates and support staff different from those working on the current client matter.
APPENDIX E

SUPERVISION OF PARALEGALS

Key concepts

Lawyers who use paralegals need to be aware of several key concepts:

1. The lawyer maintains ultimate responsibility for the supervision of the paralegal and oversight of the file;

2. Although a paralegal may be given operational carriage of a file, the retainer remains one between the lawyer and the client and the lawyer continues to be bound by his or her professional, contractual and fiduciary obligations to the client;

3. The Society will protect the public by regulating the lawyer who is responsible for supervising the paralegal in the event of misconduct or a breach of the Legal Profession Act or Law Society Rules committed by the paralegal;

4. A lawyer must limit the number of persons that he or she supervises to ensure that there is sufficient time available for adequate supervision of each person.

5. A paralegal must be identified as such in correspondence and documents he or she signs and in any appearance before a court of tribunal.

6. A lawyer must not delegate any matter to a paralegal that the lawyer would not be competent to conduct himself or herself.

Best practices for Supervising Paralegals:

1. Supervision is a flexible concept that is assessed on a case-by-case basis with consideration of the relevant factors, which, depending on the circumstances, include the following:

   a. Has the paralegal demonstrated a high degree of competence when assisting the lawyer with similar subject matter?

   b. Does the paralegal have relevant work experience and or education relating to the matter being delegated?

   c. How complex is the matter being delegated?

   d. What is the risk of harm to the client with respect to the matter being delegated?
2. A lawyer must actively mentor and monitor the paralegal. A lawyer should consider the following:

   a. Train the paralegal as if he or she were training an articled student. A lawyer must be satisfied the paralegal is competent to engage in the work assigned;

   b. Ensuring the paralegal understands the importance of confidentiality and privilege and the professional duties of lawyers. Consider having the paralegal sign an oath to discharge his or her duties in a professional and ethical manner;

   c. Gradually increasing the paralegal's responsibilities;

   d. A lawyer should engage in file triage and debriefing to ensure that matters delegated are appropriate for the paralegal and to monitor competence. This may include:

      i. testing the paralegal's ability to identify relevant issues, risks and opportunities for the client;

      ii. engaging in periodic file review. File review should be a frequent practice until such time as the paralegal has demonstrated continued competence, and should remain a regular practice thereafter;

      iii. ensuring the paralegal follows best practices regarding client communication and file management.

3. Create a feedback mechanism for clients and encourage the client to keep the lawyer informed of the strengths and weaknesses of the paralegal's work. If the client has any concerns, the client should alert the lawyer promptly.

4. If a lawyer has any concerns that the paralegal has made a mistake, the lawyer must take carriage of the file and deal with the mistake.

5. Discuss paralegal supervision with a Law Society practice advisor if you have any concerns.

Best practices for training paralegals

1. Develop a formal plan for supervision and discuss it with the paralegal. Set goals and progress milestones.

2. Review the guidelines for supervising articled students and adopt concepts that are appropriate to the scope of responsibility being entrusted to the paralegal.

3. Facilitate continuing legal education for the paralegal.
4. Ensure the paralegal reviews the relevant sections of the Professional Legal Training Course materials and other professional development resources and review key concepts with the paralegal to assess their comprehension level.

5. Have their paralegals “junior” the lawyer on files and explain the thought process with respect to substantive and procedural matters as part of the paralegal’s training.

6. Keep an open door policy and encourage the paralegal to discuss any concerns or red flags with the lawyer before taking further steps.

A Checklist for Assessing the Competence of Paralegals:

1. Does the paralegal have a legal education? If so, consider the following:
   a. What is the reputation of the institution?
   b. Review the paralegal’s transcript;
   c. Review the courses that the paralegal took and consider reviewing the course outline for relevant subject matters to assess what would have been covered in the course, consider total number of credit hours, etc.
   d. Ask the paralegal about the education experience.

2. Does the paralegal have other post-secondary education that may provide useful skills? Consider the reputation of the institution and review the paralegal’s transcripts.

3. What work experience does the paralegal have, with particular importance being placed on legal work experience?:
   a. Preference/weight should be given to work experience with the supervising lawyer and/or firm;
   b. If the experience is with another firm, consider contacting the prior supervising lawyer for an assessment;
   c. Does the paralegal have experience in the relevant area of law?
   d. What responsibilities has the paralegal undertaken in the past in dealing with legal matters?

4. What personal qualities does the paralegal possess that make him or her well-suited to take on enhanced roles:
   a. How responsible, trustworthy and mature is the paralegal?
b. Does the paralegal have good interpersonal and language skills?

c. Is the paralegal efficient and well organized?

d. Does the paralegal possess good interviewing and diagnostic skills?

e. Does the paralegal display a strong understanding of both the substantive and procedural law governing the matter to be delegated?

f. Does the paralegal strive for continuous self-improvement, rise to challenges, etc.?